#### FTC Policy Statement on Enforcement Related to Gig Work

American workers deserve fair, honest, and poetitive labor markets. Over the past decade, internet-enabled "gig" comparties grown exponentially, and gig work now composes a significant paof the United States economy one study suggests the gig economy will generate \$455 billion in annual sales by 2023 he rapid growth of the gig economy is made possible by the contribution sodrivers, shoppers, cleaners, care workers, designers, freelancers, and other workers. Protectings workers from unfair, deceptive, and anticompetitive practices is a priority, and the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") will use its full authority to do soAs the Commission's past work and current initiatives illustrate, the agency's broad-başaris diction and interdisciplinary approach to market harms make it well positioned to confribat challenges this model can pose to workers.

¹ See, e.g.Ben Zipperer et al., Econ. Pol'y Inst., National Survey of Gig Workers Paints a Picture of Poor Working Conditions, Low Payat 1 (June 1, 2022) ("While the concept of nontraditional, short-term, and contract work has been around since well before the digital age, it wasn't until the 2010s that digital platform companies like Uber, DoorDash, Instacart, and TaskRabbit began to rise toipeonce and shape the way define gig work today.").
² Mastercard & Kaiser Assocs Aastercard Gig Economy Indust Outlook and Needs Assessman (May 2019).

³ While this Statement focuses on potential harms to gig workers and how the Commission might address them, misconduct against any consumer—customers who use services offered through the platform, workers who supply labor, and businesses on or off the platform—is prohib**8ed**, e.g.Decision & OrderIn re Uber Techs., IncDkt. No. C-4662 (FTC Oct. 25, 2018) (requiring Uber to implement a comprehensive privacy program to protect personal data collected from both riders and driveBecision & OrderAmazon.comDkt. No. C-4746 (FTC June 10, 2021) (requiring Amazon to refund Amazon Flex drivers \$61illion in tips that Amazon promised drivers but failed to pay); Compl. ¶¶ 61−69n re HomeAdvisor, Inc., Dkt. No. 9407 (FTC Mar. 11, 2022) (FTC challenging a lead-generation platform's alleged misrepresentations tall businesses about the tomorphism of the platform's effectiveness) see also Letter from Protect Our Rests. to Fed. Trade Com(druty 21, 2021) (explaining how various practices that result

# I. Background on Gig Work

The gig economy touches nearly every aspect of American life, from food delivery to	
transportation to household services. Gig work involves activity where people earn income	
	-
	_

in the FTC's Serving Communities of Color report, gig workers are disproportionately people of color<sup>11</sup>: 30% of Latino adults, 20% of Black adults, and 19% of Asian adults report having engaged in gig work, compared to only 12% of White adults. 12 Many gig workers have lower incomes and, because they may not be covered by wage and hour laws, can earn less than the minimum wage. 13 More than half of American gig workeed

### II. The Market for Gig Workers

As with any evolving sector of the economy, the Commission is attuned to gig work's promises and pitfalls. This Statement focuses on three market features that implicate the Commission's consumer protection and competition missions:

Control Without Responsibilityompanies frequently promote gig work as a flexible opportunity for people to set their own hours and work on their own terms. <sup>18</sup> These companies often categorize their workers as independent contractors. Yet in practice these firms may tightly prescribe and control their workers' tasks in ways that run counter to the promise of independence and an alternative to traditional jobs. This tension has contributed to litigation across the country over allegations that gig workers are being misclassified as independent contractors rather than employees. <sup>19</sup> When misclassification occurs, workers are often deprived of critical rights to which they are entitled under law (such as the right to organize, overtime pay, and health and safety protections), and saddled with inordinate risks (such as unclear and unstable pay, or responsibility for a vehicle, equipment, or supplies) and business expenses that employers commonly bear (such as insurance, gas, maintenance, and taxes). <sup>20</sup> At the same time,

et seq.

_			
	_		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See, e.g., Cong. Rsch. Serv., What Does the Gig Economy Mean for Workers?, at i ("The apparent availability of gig jobs and the flexibility they seem to provide workers are frequently touted features of the gig economy.").

<sup>19</sup> See, e.g., Lawson v. Grubhub, Inc., 13 F.4th 908 (9th Cir. 2021); Waithaka v. Amazon.com, Inc., 966 F.3d 10 (1st Cir. 2020); Razak v. Uber Techs., Inc., 951 F.3d 137 (3d Cir. 2020); Hood v. Uber Techs., Inc., Case No. 1:16-CV-998, 2019 WL 93546 (M.D.N.C. Jan. 3, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See, e.g., National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 151 et seq. (protecting, among other rights, employees' rights to act together to address working conditions); U.S. Dep't of the Treasu&alfe.,

exposed to harms from unfair, deceptive, and antipetitive practices and likely to amplify such harms when they occur.

Concentrated Markets. Markets populated by businessleat run online platforms are often concentrated, resulting finduced choice for workers, stromers, and businesses. As a platform grows by attracting more users (e.g., riders), it can become more valuable to users on the other side of the platform (e.g., drivers) degnerating so-called "network effects." Because network effects can lock in dominant player's market pition, these businesses can be incentivized to pursue tactics degreed to quickly capture a large share of the market, leading the market to "tip" and raising signicant barriers to entry. Gig companies in concentrated markets may be more likely to have and exert mean lower over gig workers or engage in anticompetitive unilateral or coordinated conduct conduct may eliminate or further weaken competition among existing gig companies for workers' services prevent new gig companies from getting off the ground or being able to enter the market.resulting loss in competition

\_\_\_\_\_

The Commiss	ion has initiated rulemaking proc <b>egs</b> ito strengthen its ability to detect
and deter deceptive	earnings <b>rai</b> and has sought comment on the prevalence of deceptive
earning claims relatir	ng to gig work.

or the Business Opportunity RufeThe Rules require accurate, upfront disclosures—including information about the franchisse business opportunity, otherorkers, and prior lawsuits—before consumers make any commitment.

B. Combating Unlawful Practices and Urlawful Constraints Imposed on Gig Workers

Gig workers may lack key information aboliteir working conditions, and can be subject to onerous contract terms and arbitrary eviationarequirements. Increasingly, gig workers are managed by algorithms, which use extensive datacted from workers and other consumers to make important management decriss using undisclosed criter falultiple laws enforced by the Commission may apply when these practices acceptive, unfair, anticompetitive, or otherwise unlawful.

Unfair or Deceptive Practices by an Automated BossSection 5 of the FTC Act prohibits unfair or deceptive practices impaorm, including practing 7cMel

transparency about how it impacts rwer pay or performance evaluation. Workers report unexpected drops in their performance ratings, unexplained changes in their payassignment of impossible or dangerous delivery routes, other arbitrary evalutions that could lead to wrongful termination §2. Companies are responsible fulfilling their promises to their workers, even if they use automatenanagement technologies Gig companies that employ algorithmic tools to govern their workforce should sure that they do so legality.

entry for new companies. Such provisions may viola ection 1 of the Sherman Atand the FTC Act's prohibition on unfaimethods of competition. The Commission will also investigate contractual limitations, suchs liquidated damages clausem nondisclosure agreements that may be excessive or overbroad and effectively operate as non-compressions. Moreover, the Commission recognizes that comp.3 (rcomp.3 (rcomp.3 (rcomp. overbroab93 -3.12 0 0 12 493.92 70

lessen competition between among gig companies. The Commission will also investigate any exclusionary or predatory conduct by dominant firms that mlay/furlly create or maintain a monopoly (a dominant seller) or a monopsony (a dominant buyer or employer), resulting in harm to customers or reduced compensation or working conditions for gig workers. Such conduct may include the use exclusive contracting, predat/opricing, or other forms of monopolization, and may be subject to legal action by threr@ssion as a violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Avet.

## IV. Policy, Partnerships, & Outreach

In addition to robust enforcement, the Common addresses issues in the gig economy through policy work, outreach, and partnerships with other law common agencies.

Governmental Collaboration. The FTC's Regional Officessave spearheaded the agency's efforts to identify law violations evelop policy, and collaborate with government partners in this space. The Commission is also partnering with other agencies on broad labor initiatives and individual enforcement auxis. In December 2021, the FTC and DOJ hosted a workshop to promote competitive laborarkets and worker mobility. And in July 2022, the FTC and National Labor Relations Board signed emorandum of Understanding that deepens the agencies' collaboration around issues in gaig workers throughharing information, conducting cross-training for staff at each agreed partnering on investigative efforts within each agency's authority.

<sup>7</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> SeeExec. Order No. 14,036, § 1, 86 Feeg. at 36,988 (directing federalemtion "to enforce the antitrust laws to combat the excessive concentration of industry, the abus market power, and the rmful effects of monopoly and monopoly—espetijas these issues arise in labor markets").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Fed. Trade Comm'r Making Competition Work: Promotion Competition in Labor Markets (Dec. 6–7, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Memorandum of Understanding Between the Federal Toademission (FTC) and the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) Regarding Information Sharing, Crossency Training, and Outach in Areas of Common Regulatory Interest (July 19, 2022).

Ensuring Equity. The FTC's Equity Action Plan reaffirms the Commission's				
commitment to protecting the publicacluding meaningfully addresing barriers that historically				
underserved communities face in participating in and benef				

# V. Conclusion

Successfully addressing the range of consupmotection and competition challenges associated with the gig economy requiressovative and collabative approaches by governmental enforcers that are responsive expublic's concerns and input. The Commission will continue to capitalize on its broad jurisdiction interdisciplinary expertise to combat unlawful practices that harm gig workers.