[Billing Code: 6750-01-P]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 255

Guides Concerning the Use of Endorsements and Testimonials in Advertising

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed changes to Guides; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") is seeking public comment on proposed revisions to its Guides Concerning the Use of Endorsements and Testimonials in Advertising ("the Guides").

DATES: Comments must be received on or before [INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

ADDRESSES:

Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. OVERVIEW OF THE CURRENT GUIDES
- II. HISTORY OF THE GUIDES
- III. DISCUSSION OF COMMENTS RECEIVED IN RESPONSE TO REGULATORY REVIEW NOTICE
- IV. SECTION-BY-SECTION DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS
- V. PROPOSED REVISED ENDOR SEMENT AND TESTIMONIAL GUIDES
- VI. INVITATION TO COMMENT

I. OVERVIEW OF THE CURRENT GUIDES

The Guides, 16 CFR Part 255, are designeeds sist businesses and others in conforming their endorsement and testimonial advertising practices to the requirements of Section 5 of the FTC Act. Althougheth Guides interpret laws administered by the Commission, and thus are advisory in nature, proceedings to enforce the requirements of law as explained in the Guides can be ught under the FTC Act. In any such proceeding, the Commission would have the burdeproving that a particular use of an endorsement or testimonial was deceptive under the law.

The Guides define both endorsemeants testimonials broadly to mean any advertising message that consumers are like by elieve reflects the opinions, beliefs, findings, or experience of a pop other than the sponsoring vertiser. 16 CFR 255.0(b) and (c). The Guides state that endorsements reflect the hone opinions, findings,

beliefs, or experience the endorser. 16 CFR 255.1(a) urthermore, endorsements may not contain any representations through the deceptive, or could not be substantiated, if made directly by the advertisedr. The Guides state that an advertisement presenting consumendorsements about the perfiance of an advertised product will be interpreted as representing that the perfiance for the purpose depicted in the advertisement. 16 CFR 255.2(a). They further advise that an advertisement employing a consumer endorset on a central or key attribute of a product will be interpreted as representing that the endorsexperience is representative of what consumers will generally achieve CFR 255.2(b). If an advertiser does not have adequate substantiation the endorser's experiments representative, the advertisement should clearly and conspicuodistrylose what the generally expected performance would be in the depicted circumstantons.

The Guides define an expert endorsescense one who, as a result of experience, study, or training, possesses knowledge of a putantic subject that is uperior to that generally acquired by ordinary individuals 6 CFR 255.0(e). An expert endorser's qualifications must in fact, give him or herethexpertise that he or she is represented as possessing with respect to the tendorsement. 16 CFR 255.3(a) poreover, an expert endorsement must be supported by an actual cisse of that expense and the expert's evaluation of the product must have been ast as extensive as someone with the same degree of expertise would northywaneed to conduct in order to support the conclusions presented. 16 CFR 255.3(b).

The Guides advise that when thera isonnection between the endorser and the seller of the advertised produbat might materially affect the weight or credibility of the

endorsementi.e., the connection is not reasonably expected by the audience), such connection must be full disclosed. 16 CFR 255.5.

Among other things, the Guides alsatetthat: (1) whethe advertisement represents that the endorseesuthe endorsed product, thelerser must have been a bona fide user of it at the time endorsement was given, OFR 255.1(c); (2) advertisers are subject to liability for falser unsubstantiated statements made through endorsements, or for failing to disclose material connections between thereseand their endorsers; and endorsers also may be liabler statements made in theurse of their endorsements, 16 CFR 255.1(d); (3) advertisements presenting exertinents by what are represented to be "actual consumers" should utilizactual consumers, or clearly and conspicuously disclose that the persons are noticed consumers, 16 CFR 255.2(e)nd (4) an organization's endorsement must be reached by a processieral fito ensure that the endorsement fairly reflects the collective judgment the organization. 16 CFR 255.4.

II. HISTORY OF THE GUIDES

In December 1972, the Commission published for public comment proposed Guides Concerning the Use of Endorsersæmtd Testimonials in Advertising, 37 FR 25548 (Dec. 1, 1972). Interested parties itted extensive comment. On May 21, 1975, the Commission promulgated, under the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. 41–58, three sections of the theorem as final guidelines (16 CFR 255.0, 255.3 and 255.4) and republished three others

(16 CFR 255.1, 255.2 and 255.5) and modified xample to one of the final guidelines adopted in May 1975 (16 CFR 255.0 Example). 45 FR 3870 (Jan. 18, 1980).

As part of its periodic regulatory view, the Commission sought public comment on the Endorsement Guides in January 2007. 72 FR 2214 (Jan. 18, 2007). In November 2008, the Commission discussed the commissioned in 2007, proposed certain revisions to the Guides, and requestered moment on those proposed revisions. 73 FR 72374 (Nov. 28, 2008). In October 2009, the Commission substantively amended the Guides, adding what are now 16 CFR 255,02(5)5.1(d) and 255.2(a), significantly modifying the guidance in 16 CFR 255.0(b) modifying or adding numerous examples. 74 FR 53124 (Oct. 15, 2009).

In February 2020, again **pa**rt of its ongoing regulatory review process, the Commission published a Federal Registeromotive king comment on the overall costs, benefits, and regulatory and economic imposite Guides as well as a number of specific questions focused on the materiosin rections section of the Guides (16 CFR 255.5). 85 FR 10104 (Feb. 21, 2020). In light of the disruption caused by the Coronavirus pandemic, the Commission extent the comment period for two months. 85 FR 19709 (Apr. 8, 2020).

III. OVERVIEW OF COMMENTS RE CEIVED IN RESPONSE TO REGULATORY REVIEW NOTICE

The Commission received 108 unique substantive comments in response to its regulatory review notice. Having considered those comments and its own extensive

Approximately seventy-five comments we submitted by individual consumers, most of whom were apparently university stude fulfilling class assignments. The remaining

consumer protection experience, the Comraissiow proposes various amendments to the Guides and invites comments on these proposed changes.

Most commenters noted that the Guides are beneficial and should be retained, and none disagreed. Some comments probable current Guest for striking an appropriate balance between protecting sumers and allowing advertisers to communicate creatively and effect

Those comments are discussed in Part IVovoein the context of the specific Guide provisions to which they relate.

In addition, some comments address the issues. For example, some commenters said that the Commission should engage in more vigorous enforcement activities related to the Guides and greater educational efforts the commenters weighed in on whether the Commission should

inconspicuous. Even a tool that employdisaclosure of sufficient size, duration, and contrast could be inadequateris displayed above, rathern below, a picture or video that catches the attention of users sorghinrough their feeds Platforms may be exposing endorsers to liability if users rebylely on a platform snadequate tools for their disclosures. Platforms may also exposing themselves to liability depending on the representations they make about these tools en that platforms a major role in disseminating and monetizing endorsem entred actively encourage endorsers to promote and amplify their posts, the Commission they should carefully evaluate their tools and what they say about the remoure they are not exposing themselves or their users to liability.

IV. SECTION-BY-SECTION DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED REVISIONS TO GUIDES, COMMENTS RECEIVED IN RESPONSE TO FEBRUARY 2020 FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE, AND REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL COMMENT

The Commission believes that the Guidles used be retained but that a number of revisions are appropriate. May of the proposed changes an emply clarifications or additional examples of the principles embodine the existing Guides. Others enunciate basic principles not expressed forth in the current Gales but are established in Commission enforcement actions. Several represent substantive changes from the current Guides, based upon increased known and taking into consideration disclosurthed be ret naoNoO 1 teor video

The Commission seeks comments on these proposed revisions, which are discussed below by Section.

A. Section 255.0 – Purpose and Definitions

The Guides currently begin with a purpose and definitions section.

Current Section 255.0(b) defines an "ersationent" as any advertising message that consumers are likely to believe reflective opinions, beliefs, findings, or experience of a party other than the sponsoring advert. As suggested in a comment, the Commission proposes revising that distribute to clarify that "marketing" and "promotional" messages can be endorsementally then a social media user tags a brand in a post, it generally communicates that theterosses or likes the brand, so, the revised definition would also indicate that tags in social media posts can be endorsements.

Section 255.0(b) also currently states that an "endorsey" breat individual, group, or institution. The Commission proposes a modifion indicating that an endorser could instead simply appear to be an individual endorsers.

The Commission proposes to add two footnotes to Section 255.0(b). The first footnote would indicate the ail/ability of detailed staffbusiness guidance regarding endorsements that is updated periodically who ting that such that guidance is not approved by or binding upon the Commissi Numerous commenters asked the

⁹ Non-substantive changes to improve readability update examples to reflect changes in marketing methods, technology, conjety that have occurred since the Guides were last updated or since they viies written (e.g., replacing "brochure" with "web page") are not discussed below.

¹⁰ See Boyd at 7.

Commission to update the Guides moreofrently, such as every three yeldresome commenters asked that ther not mission provide detailed guidae in the Guides about acceptable and unacceptable language and inhalm for disclosures of material connections and their use particular platforms, while others asked the Commission to continue to allow marketers flexibility in the crafting and placement of necessary disclosures. Commenters also differed on whether to incorporate FTC staff business guidance into the Guides, wistome saying it would be useftuand others taking the position that the social media landscapevier-changing and the Guides should focus on general principles. One commenter suggested crosserencing staffguidance in the Guides. The Commission believes that its current approach for sement-related guidance makes sense, with Guides focused on general nciples and examples, and the more informal and easily updated significance focused on specific questions and issues that arise in this area. The focus focused on sure the people reading the Guides are aware of the staff guidance.

¹¹ See, e.g., AIC at 1, 3; and Pharmavite at 2.

¹² See, e.g., CRN at 2-4; Pharmavite at P\(\mathbb{D} \) A at 2; and Ana Keltner at 3.

¹³ See, e.g., ESA at 5-6; IAB at 2-3; and MPA at 6-7.

¹⁴ See, e.g., Consumer Reports at 9; CRN atu2tu20vich at 9; Pharmite at 1-2; and TINA at 12.

¹⁵ See, e.g., ANA at 3; BBB at 3; and NCTA at 2.

¹⁶ See TINA at 12.

The second footnote derives in partrir a commenter's suggestion that the Guides address an incentivized endorser denigrating a competitor's product. footnote would acknowledge that paid of mentionise incentivized regative statements about a competitor's product – whether in choratext of a consumereview or otherwise – do not meet the definition of an "endorser" that engaging in such disparagement can be a deceptive practice.

The second part of this footnote desisteom a commenter's suggestion that the

reworded so as to distort the endorsepisnion. One commenter noted that it was unclear in the example whoshirted the endorser's opinion. The Commission proposes to modify the example to claim addentify the responsible party.

Current Example 5 to Section 255.0 invostvætelevision adversement in which a professional golfer implicitly endorses trænd of golf balls by being shown practicing her swing using the balls, even though she says nothing in the ad. The Commission proposes expanding this example to illustrate use of the same video footage in a social media post can be an endorsementaries as the endorsetal and is tagged or otherwise readily identifiable by viewers.

Example 6 to Section 255.0 currently illustrates how a paid actor hosting a product infomercial and readifform a script can still be niking an endorsement. The Commission proposes adding a scenario to this example to shouth the commission actor can talk about the product without making andorsement and deleting Example 7, which had also focused on illustrating state test that were not endorsements.

Example 8 to Section 255.0, which would renumbered as Example 7, currently provides scenarios in which an individuous Insumer's social media posts would and would not be considered endorsements. Townmenters asked fourther explanation of the Commission's reasoning. The Commission proposes to clarify the example.

When a consumer buys the product with them money under ordinary circumstances and chooses to post about it, the post is another ordinary the Guides because the

²¹ See Dudukovich at 17.

²² See ANA at 8-9; and Dudukovich at 17-18.

consumer has no connection to the manufactureyond being an ordinary purchaser and her message cannot be attributed to thousand the manufacturer. The revised example

Section 255.1(d) currently ecognizes that advertisers aubject to liability for false or unsubstantiated statements made of the endorsements, or for failing to disclose material connections between themselves and their endorsers. The Commission would indicate that an advertise ray be liable for an endorse deceptive statement even when the endorser is not liable. The Commission also proposes adding guidance to this subsection on what actions advertisers should that respect to their endorsers. Such guidance previously only appeared in an example.

Current Section 255.1(d) also recognithest endorsers themselves may be subject to liability for their statement. Commenters asked for clarification of when endorsers would be liability. The Commission proposes moving the discussion of endorser liability to a new. Commission proposes moving the discussion of endorser liability to a new. Commission proposes moving the discussion of endorser liability to a new. Commission proposes moving the discussion of endorser liability to a new. Commission proposes moving the discussion of endorsers may be liable for their statements such as when the kennespresentations that they know or should know to be deceptive. The level of due. The level of due. The level of due. The level of endorsers will depend on their level of expertisenal knowledge, among other factors. Current Examples 3 and 4 involve endorsers who knew or should that nown that their statements were deceptive. Section 255.1(e) would also sand thenon-expert endorseral also be liable when the endorser makes reading or unsubstantiated representations about performance or efficacy that are inconsistent the endorser personal experience or that were not made or approved by the attister and that go beyond the scope of the endorser's personal experience.

²³ See, e.g., Boyd at 13; and Dudukovich at 18.

²⁴ The Commission would add a cross-refigee to Section 255.3 with respect to the responsibilities of an expert endorser.

Commission proposes updating it to better illust this principle. Finally, Section 255.1(e) would also note that endorsers arisay be liable for failing to disclose unexpected material connections between the sand an advertiser, such as when they create and disseminate endorsers without such disclosures.

A few commenters suggested that **Gue**ides deal with the disclosure responsibility of intermediaries such as marketing and pub

The Commission proposes adding a reservition 255.1(g) stating a general principle that the use of an endorsement whitenimage or likeness of a person other than the actual endorser is deceptive it misrepresents a material attribute of the endorser.

The Commission proposes modifying current manufacture 1 to Section 255.1 to note that an endorser does not never does not never does and modify or delephant social media posts as long as the posts were not misleading when threety e made and the dates of the posts are clear and conspicuous to viewers. Howe threety, example would state at if the post was later reposted by the endorser or shared by publisher, it would suggest to reasonable consumers that the endorser continue doubt the views expression the prior post.

The Commission proposes adding new Examples 7 to illustrate the principle in new Section 255.1(g) involvintogre use of an image or likes of a person other than the actual endorser to misrepresent a material attribute entitlorser. These examples involve endorsements for an acne product guain image of a person with much better skin than the actual endorserweight-loss prouch with an image of a person weighing much less than the actual deerser, and a learn-to-readogram with a picture of a significantly younger child that the child of the endorser.

C. Section 255.2 – Consumer Endorsements

Section 255.2 of the Guides provides graicles specific to the use of consumer endorsements, commonly reflect to as testimonials.

Current Section 255.2(a) addresses the need for adequate substantiation for claims made through endorsements. The Commission proposes clarifying that this need for substantiation applies to bot express and implied claims.

Current Section 255.2(b) states that ewith advertiser does not have substantiation that an endorser's experience presentative of that consumers will generally achieve, an ad should clearly achieve performance in the depicted circumstances. The Commission proposes adding a clarifying statement that the solious of the generally expected performance should be presented in a manner that does not itself misrepresent contractioners can expect.

The Commission proposes adding a new tion 255.2(d) that addresses consumer reviews and articulata fundamental principle inexpressly set forth in the existing Guides. It would state that procuring, suppressing oosting, organizing, or editing consumer reviews of their products, at the sections that have

Finally, the Commission proposes adding an alternative scenario to Example 4 involving an advertisement for a weight-loss gram. The addition would explain that a disclosure of typical weightoss limited to only successful participants in the program (e.g., only those who stuck with it for six mbs), ignoring participants who quit, would be inadequate.

The Commission proposes four new exæsptb illustrate the proposed new Section 255.2(d).

New Example 8 addresses an onlineased uppressing or not publishing product reviews based upon their star ratings their negative sentiments. The review portions of the seller's product pagese misleading as to purcless' actual opinions of the products. The example would also provide examples of revites and not be published. Finally, the example ustrates that it would be deceptive for a seller to highlight glowing reviews and label them as so the helpful" if consumers had not actually voted them most helpful.

New Example 9 addresses paying purchateewrite positive product reviews.

Such reviews are deceptive regardless of any disclosure of the payment, because the manufacturer has required that the reviews positive. The proposed example has a cross-reference for when there is no requirement that the reviews be positive and the

³⁰ See Complaint at 1-**2**n the Matter of Fashion Nova, LLC, No. C-4759 (Mar. 18, 2022), http://www.ftc.gov/system/files/ftc_ov/pdf/1923138C4759FashionNovaComplaint.pdf.

³¹ See Complaint at &n the Matter of UrthBox, IncNo. C-4676 (April 3, 2019), https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/cases/172_302160_0x_complaint_4-3-19_0.pdf

reviewers understand that there free to write negative views without suffering any consequences.

New Example 10 addresses the unfair time of threatening consumers who post negative reviews to third-party websites indean to coerce the consumers to delete their reviews. Such threats canke the form of legalf, physical, or other thats. As noted in a new proposed footnote to the Guides, withen threats are incorporated into a form contract, they violate the consumer Review Fairness Act 5 U.S.C. 45b(b)(1).

Several commenters suggested addressing review gatingractices that involve obtaining customer feedback athen sending satisfied and dissatisfied customers down different paths in ordeetocourage positive reviews and avoid negative reviews. New Example 11 discusses a marketoticiting feedbackfrom all customers and only inviting those who give positive feedbackwrite online reviews. It says that such disparate treatment mayabreunfair or deceptive practice if it results in the posted reviews being substantially mospositive than if the macker had not engaged in the practice.

D. Section 255.3 – Expert Endorsements

Section 255.3 provides guidance with spect to expert endorsements.

Current Section 255.3(a) addresses adværtients that represt "directly or by implication" that an endorser is an experith respect to the endorsement message. The Commission proposes clarifying that this tisen applies to representations made

³² See FTC v. Roca Labs, In645 F. Supp. 3d 1375, 1394-95 (M.D. Fla. 2018).

³³ See, e.g., BBB at 5; Boyd at 23; Dudukohviat 13; and TINA at 22put seeANA at 14.

"expressly or by implication." The Commission proposes modifying current Example 2 to clarify that the non-medical "doctor" expert endorser should had expertise and that the non-medical and non-specializated fors referenced in example do not necessarily have enough expect to endorse the productiven with a clear and conspicuous disclosure. The Commission also proposes and a current Example 6 – adding a sentence about the potential liabidity he expert endors and the advertiser, including a cross-reference to Section 255. The Commission would clarify that what matters is the expert's "purpod edgree of expertise, not the expert's actual degree of expertise. Finally, the Commission would also indicate in Example 6 that scientific evidence is expected to support a secunolesterol lowering claim.

E. Section 255.4 – Endorsements by Organizations

Section 255.4 provides guidance spiedio the use of endorsements by organizations.

The Commission proposes to renumber dibute ent example in Section 255.4 as Example 1 and to add two additional examples.

New Example 2 would say that ifmanufacturer sets up an apparently independent review website that reviews the manufacturer's own products and competing products, that website is deceptive buse it is not in fact independent.

_

³⁴ The Commission proposes makinginaliar change to Section 255.2(c).

³⁵ See Complaint at 8-90, the Matter of Son Le

Commission proposes specifying that suchldisares must be "clear and conspicuous," adding a definition of that place (as discussed above), aleteting the more ambiguous statement that such disclosuresst be "fully" disclosed. Italso proposes to delete the existing example from the text of the sentand to replace it with more general guidance. A commenter asked for furtherdence about what types of relationships could constitute marial connections. The proposed revised text of Section 255.5 would explain that material connections deadlude a business, family, or personal relationship; monetary paymentitive provision of fee or discounted products or services to the endorser, including products or sees unrelated to the moderned product; early access to a product; or the possibility of wingnia prize, of being paid, or of appearing on television or in other media promotion he new guidance would that a material connection can exist regardless of whether at the endorser requires an endorsement for the payment or free or discounted products.

Several commenters asked that the Commission provide examples of immaterial connections that read no disclosure. The Commission proposes instead to recognize in the text of Section 255.5 that some connectional be immateriable cause they are too insignificant to affect the weight correctionity given to endorsements.

One commenter suggested that the class recognize that, for influencers primarily famous because of their social dia presence, their sponsorships are often expected. Without accepting or rejecting athroposition, the Commission proposes

³⁸ See Boyd at 9.

³⁹ See, e.g., ANA at 10-12; CMA at 2; and NCTA at 10.

⁴⁰ See NRF at 4.

	_	

most effective format, placemental wording for disclosures. As discussed below, the Commission also proposes adding a newtiSe 255.6 addressing endorsements directed to children.

The current Example 3 to Section 255nākes clear that consumers would not expect that a celebrity was paid for enading a medical procedure during a routine interview on a television talk show, that knlewige of such a financial interest would likely affect the weight or credibility consums give to that endorsement, and that the celebrity's financiaconnection to the advertiser should disclosed. One commenter said that the Guides should indicate that disadles at the end of a talk show are not clear and conspicuous. The Commission proposes edits to Example 3 noting that the disclosure should be during threferview and that a disclosurduring the show's closing credits is not clear and conspicuous. A diffret commenter suggested that the Guides say that disclosure obligations sist even if an endorser is tropaid for a particular post. Revised Example 3 would say that, if the beilty makes the endors seen in one of her social media posts, her connection to the advertiser should is closed regardless of whether she was paid for the particular post exemple outlet also illustrate that receipt of free or sticounted services can constitute material connection.

One comment suggested that the Guides address the reuse of an influencer's social media endorsement. Revised Example 3 would also state that, when reusing a celebrity's social media posts in its own social media, an advertiser should clearly and

⁴⁹ See CW at 2-5.

⁵⁰ See Dudukovich at 30, 62.

⁵¹ See IZEA at 1.

conspicuously disclose its lationship to the celebrity assuming the initial post necessitated a disclosure).

The current Example 4 to Section 255ct lasses the consumer expectation that an expert endorser would be reasonably poensated for appearing in an ad. The Commission proposes clarifying that the existing dance applies to traditional ads, such as television ads, and adding an alternative pario involving a post on the expert's own social media account, a content which consumers would be so likely to expect that the expert was compensated and more likely to expect that the isxep pressing an independent opinion.

The current Example 5 to Section 255.5 and sees a scenario in which restaurant patrons are informed before they enter that will be interviewed by an advertiser as part of its TV promotion of the new food product. A commenter suggested that we clarify why this information is material. The Commission proposes explaining that a patron might want to give the product a good revisew the hope of apparing on television.

Several commenters said that incentivizee dews need discourse even if the incentives are not condition explored the reviews being positive. Current Example 6 to Section 255.5 addresses the astition where "extras" who want to work in commercials are recruited to use a product and enditins ean informercial in exchange for compensation and exposure. The Commission proposes expanding the example to address ordinary consumers we test to try a product for freezend write online reviews of

⁵² See Dudukovich at 24-25.

⁵³ See, e.g., AFSA at 3-4; BBB at 4-5; Boy**21122**; Dudukovich at 12-13; NAIMA at 4-5; and TINA at 21but seeCRN at 4-5.

it in exchange for payment; the example wostate the need to disclose this connection

employees about disclosure require tisen The Commission proposes adding an explanation of an employer's obligations danoting that this guidance also applies to online consumer reviews.

The Commission is also proposing the addition three new examples to Section 255.5.

The first one arises from the request of commenters that the Commission include an example illustrating conditins under which third-particulations and seals of approval, which typically require paymetotthe certifying organization to fund the evaluation, do not require a disclostife New Example 10, which is a slightly edited version of an example in the Green Guides, cognizes that consumers would reasonably expect that marketers have also non-profit, third-party organizations reasonable fees for some certifications and seals.

Second, multiple commenters asked that the Guides address the need to disclose affiliate relationships and that dequacy of affiliate links while one commenter asserted that consumers understand such links and that no disclosure is necessary.

Example 11 addresses the discressor affiliate links. It says that a blogger who writes independent content reviewing products armonometizes that content with affiliate links should clearly and conspic58

Third, new Example 12 recognizes that tipes with telesion commercials, consumers can reasonably expect pressure appearing intertain newer-form advertisements are compensated for their statements.

G. New Section 255.6 – Endorsements Directed to Children

As discussed above, endorsements directed ildren may be of special concern.

The Commission proposes adding a section lyirancknowledging that fact, as to which

be placed on the public record of this process, dincluding, to the extent practicable, on the https://www.regulations.gowebsite.

Because of the agency's heightened steposcreening, postal mail addressed to the Commission will be subject to delay. We strongly encourage you to submit your comments online through the https://wwwguteations.gov website. To ensure the Commission considers your online comment, please follow the instructions on the webbased form.

If you file your comment on papewrite "Endorsement Guides, P204500" on your comment and on the envelope, and mails comment to the following address:

Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Setary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite CC-5610 (Annex B), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW, 5th Floor, Suite 5610n(Aex B), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, please submit your paper comment to the Consider by courier onvernight service.

Because your comment will be placed on the public record, you are solely responsible for making sure that your commenders not include any sensitive or confidential information. In particular, your commendation of contain sensitive personal information, such as your ranyone else's Social Seitry number; date of birth; driver's license number or other stadentification number or foreign country equivalent; passport number, aincial account number; or extit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for magiciary your comment does not include any sensitive health information, say as medical records or other dividually identifiable health information. In addition, your commendation on tinclude any "[t] rade secret or

any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential" – as provided in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, **L**5S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2) – including in particular coefipively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, form, upasterns, devices, mafacturing processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, muste clearly labeled "Confidential," and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c). In particular, the writterequest for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the data and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the moonent to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c). Your comment will keept confidential only if the General Counsel grants your request in accordance the haw and the public interest. Once your comment has been posted publicly why. regulations.gov as legally required by FTC Rule 4.9(b) – we cannot redact on the your comment, unless you submit a confidentiality request that meets the requirents for such treatment under FTC Rule 4.9(c), and the General Counselants that request.

Visit the FTC website to read this document the news release describing it.

The FTC Act and other laws that the Comstitus administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use is throceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive blic comments it receives on or before

[INSERT DATE 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THEFEDERAL REGISTER For information on the Commission privacy policy, including routine

uses permitted by the Privacy Act, steeps://www.ftc.gov/site-information/privacy-policy.

List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 255

Advertising, Trade Practices

Accordingly, the Federal Trade Comission proposes to amend Title 16,

Chapter I, Subchapter B, of the Coofe-Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 255 - GUIDES CONCERNING USE OF ENDORSEMENTS AND

TESTIMONIALS IN ADVERTISING

Sec.

255.0 Purpose and Definitions.

255.1 General Considerations.

255.2 Consumer Endorsements.

255.3 Expert Endorsements.

255.4 Endorsements by Organizations.

255.5 Disclosure of Material Connections.

255.6

255. (Purpose and Definitions.)Tj EMC /Span <</MCI26 5 >> BDC /TT0 1 Tf 0.0011 Tc -0.001

Section 5 of the FTC Act (15 U.S.C. 45)the use of endorsements and testimonials in advertising. The Guides provide the Isafsir voluntary compliance with the law by advertisers and endorsers.actices inconsistent withhese Guides may result in corrective action by the Commission underson 5 if, after investigation, the Commission has reason to believe that therefores fall within the scope of conduct declared unlawful by the statute.

The Guides set forth the general **pripil**es that the Commission will use in evaluating endorsements and testimonials ther with examples illustrating the application of those principles. The Guides not purport to cover every possible use of endorsements in advertising Whether a particular endorment or testimonial is deceptive will depend on the specific factual crimstances of the advertisement at issue.

(b) For purposes of this part, an "endorsement" means any advertising, marketing, or promotional message (inclured) verbal statements, taken estatements are likely to believe flects the opinions, belief sindings, or experiences of a party other than the sponsoring advertise party whose opinions, beliefs,

¹ Staff business guidance applying Sents of the FTC Act to endorsements and testimonials in advertising is available on the FTC website. Such staff guidance addresses details not covered in these suitable is updated pedically, but is not approved by or binding upon the Commission.

² A paid or otherwise incentivized negatistatement about a competitor's product is not an endorsement, as that teismused in the Guides. Nevertheless, such stateneegits, paid negative review of a competing product, can be deceptive in violation of Section 5.

findings, or experience the megsappears to reflect with called the "endorser" and could be or appear to be introdividual, group, or institution.

- (c) The Commission intends to treat endorsets and testimonials identically in the context of its enforcement of the Federal Trade Commission Act and for purposes of this part. The term endorsements his refore generally used the nafter to cover both terms and situations.
- (d) For purposes of this part, the termróduct" includes any product, service, brand, company, or industry.
- (e) For purposes of this part, an "experience, study, or possessing, as a result of experience, study, or

communication's visual and autothe portions. A disclosurperesented simultaneously in

Example 3: In an advertisementor a pain remedy, attannouncer unfamiliar to consumers except as a spokesperson to the drug's ability to deliver fast and lasting pain relief. The spokesperson purports to speak, not on the basis of their own opinsi, but rather in the place of and on behalf of the drug company. The announcer's statements would not be considered an endorsement.

Example 4: A manufacturer of automobilere hires a welknown professional automobile racing driver to delive advertising message in television commercials. In these commercials thriver speaks of the smooth ride, strength, and long life of the tires. Many consumers alikely to believe this message reflects the driverer sonal views, even the driver does not say so, because consumers recognize the speaker as primarily a racing driver and not merely as a spokesman. According to summers may well believe the driver would not speak for an automotive product hout actually believing in their statements and having personal knowless to the driver makes this message an endorsement under the Guides.

Example 5: A television advertisement forbaand of golf balls includes a video of a prominent and well-recognized professional golfer practicing numerous

drives off the tee. The video would **be** endorsement even though the golfer makes no verbal statement in the advertisement.

The golfer is also hired to post the violet their social media account. The post is an endorsement if views can readily identify the golf ball brand, either because it is apparent from the videobecause it is tagged or otherwise mentioned in the post.

Example 6: An infomercial for a home fitess system is hosted by a well-known actor. During the infomercial, the actor monstrates the machine and states, "This is the most effective and easy-to-use home exercise machine that I have ever tried. Even if the actor is readi

Example 7: A consumer who regularly purchases a particular brand of dog food decides one day to purchase a newnexpensive brand made by the same manufacturer. The purchaser posts to their social media account that the change in diet has made their dog's fur noticeablyfter and shinier, and that in her opinion, the new dog food definitely is who the extra money. Because the consumer has no connection to the nonfacturer beyond beginan ordinary purchaser, their message cannot be attributed to the lateral and the post would not be deemed an endorsement uthrote Guides. The same would be true if the purchaser writes a consumer product review on the manufacturer's website, a retailer's website, or andependent review website.

Assume that rather than purchase the dog food with their own money, the consumer receives it for free becauses those routinely tracks purchases and the dog food manufacturer arrangted the store to provide coupon for a free trial bag of its new brand to all purchase fists existing brand. The manufacturer does not ask coupon recipients for producteres and recipient tiskely would not assume that the manufacturer expects those prost reviews. The consumer's post would not be deemed an endorsement uthouse Guides because his unsolicited review cannot be attributed to the manufacturer.

Assume now that the consume in iso a marketing program under which participants periodically receive free products from ious manufacturers and

can write reviews if they want to do stift the consumer receives a free bag of the new dog food through this program, their piersitreview would be considered an endorsement under the Guides becaustbeoif connection to the manufacturer through the marketing program.

Example 8: A college student, who has earnædeputation as an excellent video game player, live streamsætin game play. The devæder of a new video game pays the student to play and live streitæmew game. The student plays the game and appears to enjoy it. Everoutgh the college studerdoes not expressly recommend the game, the game pitagonsidered an endorsement.

Example 9: An influencer who is paid tendorse a vitamiproduct in their social media posts discloses their certifion to the product's manufacturer only on the profile pages of the social media accounts. Flotisclosures are not clear and conspicuous because people seeieig plaid posts could easily miss the disclosures.

Assume now that the influence discloses their connect to the manufacturer in the posts themselves, but thin torder to see the disclores, consumers have to click on a link labeled simply "more. Those disclosures are not clear and conspicuous.

Assume now that the influencer relies tests upon a social motion platform's builtin disclosure tool for one of these posts. The disclosure appears in small white
text, it is set against the of the background of the image at the influencer posted,
it competes with unrelated text that the timfluencer superimposed on the image,
and the post appears for only five secon of the disclosure is easy to miss and
thus not clear and conspicuous.

Example 10:

- effectiveness of the product, a materible ration in the product, changes in the performance of competitors' products, and the divertiser's contract commitments.
- (c) When the advertisement presents that the endorses the endorsed product, the endorser must have been a bona fideous that the time the endorsement was given. Additionally, the advertiser mayon tinue to run the advertise only so long as it has good reason to believe that the endorser income bona fide user of the product product for the product of t
- Advertisers are subject to liability from isleading or unsubstantiated statements made through endorsements when therecisma ection between the divertiser and the endorser, or for failing to diclose unexpected material nonections between themselves and their endorsers See§ 255.5]. An advertiser made liable for an endorser's deceptive statement even when the endoiss sent liable. Advertisers should: (1) provide guidance to their endorsers on the recentsure that the statements are not misleading and to disclose unexpected material connec (22) responsibility from their endorsers' compliance, and (3) take action sufficient remedy non-compliance and prevent future non-compliance.
- (e) Endorsers may be liable for statementance in the course of their endorsements, such as when an endorser makes a repretiseentatat the endorsekenows or should know to be deceptive. Also, an endorser who isamotexpert may be liable for misleading or unsubstantiated representations regardingo dupont's performance or effectiveness when the representations: (1) arecomsistent with the endorsembersonal experience, or (2) were not made or approved by the advertisend go beyond the scopethe endorser's personal experience. [Forethelponsibilities of an endorser who is an expert, see §

Assume that, before the reformulations that proceed an endorsement of the paint to their social media accounter if the contractor would not use or recommend the reformulated paint, there is obligation to modify or delete their post as long as the date of that post is a cand conspicuous to wisewers. If the contractor reposts or the vertiser shares the contractor's original endorsement after the reformulation, commers would expect that the contractor continued to hold the views expressing the original post.

Example 2: In a radio advertisement, a livenown DJ talks about how much they enjoy making coffee with particular coffee maken the morning. The DJ's comments likely communicate thiney own and regularly use the coffee maker. If they do not own it or usetonly during a demonstration by its manufacturer, the ad would be deceptive.

Example 3: A dermatologist is a paid advir to a pharmaceutical company and is asked by the company to post about its ducts on their professional social media account. The dermatologist pothat the company's newest acne treatment product is "clinical"

advertiser is also liable for the misrepentation made through the endorsement. [See § 255.3 regarding the product evaluation that pert endorser must conduct.] Even if the study was sufficited establish the product's proven efficacy, the pharmaceutical company aboved dermatologist perboth potentially liable if the endorser fails disclose their relationship to the company. See § 255.5 regarding the disclosure of prected material connections.]

Assume that the expert had asked the pharmaceutical company for the evidence supporting its claims and there were preservent design or execution flaws in the study shown to the expert, but that the pharmaceutical company had withheld a larger and better controlled, non-publish perdprietary study of the acne treatment which failed to find any statistically significant improvement in acne. The expert's "clinically proven" to work alim would be deceptive and the company would be liable for the clain, but because the dermatigist did not have a reason to know that the claim was deceptive expert would not be liable.

Example 4: A well-known celebrity appears in an infomercial for a hot air roaster that purportedly cookschicken perfectly in tenty minutes. During the shooting of the infomerciative celebrity watches fivettempts to cook chickens using the roaster. In each attempt, the chicken isroudked after twenty minutes and requires fortyvite minutes of cooking time. In the commercial, the celebrity places an uncooked chicken in thaster. The celebrity then takes from a second roaster what appears to be the put cooked chickentastes the chicken, and says that if you want perfect chickenery time, in just twenty minutes, this

In order to limit its potentialiability, the advertiser should provide guidance to its influencers concerning the need to ensure statements themake are truthful and substantiated and the need to dissclonexpected material connections and take other steps to discourage or pretynon-compliance. The advertiser should also monitor its influencers' compliance and take steps necessary to remove and halt the continued publication of decimp representations when they are discovered and to ensure the disclosul renexpected material connection Set §§ 255.1(d) and 255.5]

Example 6: The website for an acne treatm fentures accurate testimonials of users who say that the product improved racne quickly and with no side effects. Instead of using images of the tactual endorsers, the website accompanies the testimonials with pictures of different individuals with never fect skin. The images misrepresent the improvements to the endorsers' complexions.

The same website also sells Weight Appearance and features an accurate testimonial from an individual who says, "I loss pounds by just drinking the shakes." Instead of accompanying the intersinal with a picture of the actual endorser, who went from 300 pounds to \$\textit{250}\text{unds}\$, the website shows a picture of an individual who appears to intersigned about 100 pounds. By suggesting that Weight Away shakes caused the endors to be one-third of their original body weight, the image misrepresents the product fectiveness Even if it is

accompanied by a picture of the actual erser, the testimonial could still communicate a deceptive typicality claim.

Example 7: A learn-to-read program dissembles a sponsored social media post by a parent saying that the program heliphedir child learn to read. The picture accompanying the post is not of the endoassed their child. The testimonial is from the parent of a 7-year-old, but thost shows an image of a child who appears to be only 4 years old. By sugging that the program taught a 4-year-old to read, the image misrepresentate effectiveness of the program.

§ 255.2 Consumer endorsements.

- An advertisement employing endorsentseby one or more consumers about the performance of an advertised product or servivill be interpreted as representing that the product or service is efficive for the purpose depicted in the advertisement.

 Therefore, the advertiser must possessrelydupon adequate substantiation, including, when appropriate, competent dareliable scientific evidence, to support express and implied claims made through endorsements his meaner manner that vertiser would be required to do if it had made the representation directly, without using endorsements.

 Consumer endorsements themselvere not competent and table scientific evidence.
- (b) An advertisement containing an enderment relating the expience of one or more consumers on a central or key attribute of the prooduse trvice will likely be interpreted as representing that the enditors experience is representative of what consumers will generally achieve with the advised product or service in actual, albeit

otherwise misrepresentine on summers think of the iroducts, regardless of the reviews are considered dorse ments under the Guides.

Example 1: A web page for a baldness treatine consists entired of testimonials from satisfied customers who any that after using the product, they had amazing hair growth and their hair is as the iand strong as it was when they were teenagers. The advertiser must have pretent and reliable scientific evidence that its product is effective inproducing new hair growth.

The web page will also likely communicathat the endorsers' experiences are representative of what new users of phreduct can generally expect. Therefore, even if the advertiser includes a disclairs sech as, "Notice: These testimonials do not prove our product works. You should expect to have imilar results," the ad is likely to be deceptive unless the dvertiser has adequate substantiation that new users typically will experience substantiation to those experienced by the testimonialists.

Example 2: An advertisement disseminated by a company that sells heat pumps presents endorsements from three indivisituation state that after installing the

oducts or services. Customer servitælivery, returns, and exchanges are related seller's products and services.

⁴ Sellers are not required thisplay customer reviews that that in unlawful, harassing, abusive, obscene, vulgar, or sexually explicit entitor content that is inappropriate with respect to race, gender, sexuality, or entity; or reviews that the seller reasonably believes are fake, so long as the criterial thought believes are applied uniformly to all reviews submitted. Neither endeaths are presented at the content of the

achieved the claimed results, the adost likely to convey that consumers who weigh substantially less or use Weighway under less extreme circumstances will lose 110 pounds in six months. If the advertisement simply says that the endorser lost 110 pounds in six monthsngdNeightAway together with diet and exercise, however, this description would adequately alert consumers to the truly remarkable circumstances leadtoghe endorser's weight loss. The advertiser must have substantivati however, for any performance claims conveyed by the endorsement of, that WeightAway is an effective weight loss product and that the endorser's weight loss was not caused solely by their dietary restrictions and exercise regimen).

If, in the alternative, the advertisents imply features "before" and "after"

If the ad features the same picturestbettestimonialist simply says, "I lost 50 pounds with WeightAway," and WeightAway users generally do not lose 50 pounds, the ad should disclose whatults they do generally achieve of., "women who use WeightAway lose 15 pourodsaverage"). A disclosure such as "most women who use WeightAwayse between 10 and 50 pounds" is inadequate because the range specified is road that it does not sufficiently communicate what users can generally expect.

Assume that a WeightAway advertisementains a disclosure of generally expected results that is based upon the meaning of users. If the mean is substantially affected by outliers, there this closure would be misleading. For example, if the mean weight loss is plounds, but the median weight loss is 8 pounds, it would be misleading to say that average weight loss was 15 pounds. In such cases, the disclosure's use of liame weight loss instead could help avoid deceptione.g, "most users lose 8 pounds" ohet typical user loses 8 pounds."

Assume that WeightAway's manufactuperocured a fake consumer review, reading "I lost 50 poundwith WeightAway," and had it published on a third-party review website. This endorsemisent eceptive becausitewas not written by a bona fide user.S[ee§ 255.1(c)]. Moreover, the manufacturer would need competent and reliable scientific exitote that WeightAway is capable of causing 50-pound weight loss.

Assume that WeightAway is a diet anderoise program and a person appearing in a WeightAway ad says, "I lost 50 pounds in 6 months with WeightAway."

Very few WeightAway users lose 50 pounds in 6 months and the ad discloses, "The typical weight loss of WeightAway users who stick with the program for 6 months is 35 pounds." In fact, only of the of those whostart the WeightAway program stick with it for 6 months. The disclosure is inadequate because it does not communicate what the typical outcom is users who stathe program. In other words, even with the disclosure, the ad does not communicate what people who join the WeightAway program can generally expect.

Example 5: An advertisement presents the sults of a polof consumers who have used the advertiser's cake mixe wells as their own recipes. The results purport to show that the majority believe that their families could not tell the difference between the advised mix and their own cakes baked from scratch. Many of the consumers are pictured his advertisement along with relevant, quoted portions of their startnents endorsing the produd this use of the results of a poll or survey of consumers represent this is the typical result that ordinary consumers can expect from the advertiser's cake mix.

Example 6: An advertisement appears to showhidden camera" situation in a crowded cafeteria at breakfast time.spokesperson for the advertiser asks a series of patrons of the cafeteria flowir spontaneous, honest opinions of the advertiser's recently introduced breakfast cereal. Even though none of the patrons

is specifically identified during the advertisement, the net impression conveyed to consumers may well be that these areadotustomers. If actors have been employed, this fact should be alray and conspicuously disclosed.

Example 7: An advertisement for a recently leased motion picture shows three individuals coming out of a theater, earthwhom gives a positive statement about the movie. These individuals actual consumers expressing their personal views about the movie. Take vertiser does not need to have substantiation that their wives are representative of the opinions that most consumers will have about the movieed ause the consumers' statements would be understood to be the subjective in only three people, this advertisement is not likely to not a typicality message.

If the motion picture studio had approach these individuals utside the theater and offered them free tickets if the yould talk about the movie on camera afterwards or post about it on social media, that arranges the uld be clearly and conspicuously disclosed § 255.5.]

Example 8 A camping goods retailer's websites various product pages. Each product page provides consumers with the product to review the product and rate it on a five-star scale Each such page displays product's average star rating and a breakdown of the number of iews with each star rating, followed by individual consumers' reviews a reatings. As such, the website is

more positive than if the marketer had engaged in the practice. If, in the alternative, the marketer had siminfyrited all recent purhasers to provide feedback on third-party websites, the sidetion would not have been unfair or deceptive, even if it had expredicts hope for positive reviews.

§ 255.3 Expert endorsements.

- (a) Whenever an advertisement represents, expressly or by implication, that the endorser is an expert with respect to temdorsement message, then the endorser's qualifications must in fact gie the endorser the expertise that the exercise represented as possessing with respect to the endorsement.
- within the endorser's expertise (such assessor price), the entorsement must be supported by an actual exercise of thateertise in evaluating product features or characteristics with respect to which the ensembles expertise and which are relevant to an ordinary consumer's use conference with the product his evaluation must have included an examination or tensor of the product at least enstensive as someone with the same degree of expertiseould normally need to conduct in order to support the conclusions presented in the degreement. To the extensith endvertisement implies that the endorsement was based upon a consumation another product or other products, such comparison must have been included the expert's evaluation; and as a result of such comparison, the expert must have condition, with respect those features on which the endorser is expert and which real evant and available to an ordinary consumer, the endorsed product is at legistal evant to the competitors' products.

Moreover, where the net impress created by the endorsement that the advertised product is superior to other production respect to any subtracture or features, then the expert must in fact haviound such superiority. See § 255.1(e) and Example 3 regarding the liability of endorsers.]

Example 1: An endorsement of a particulautomobile by one described as an "engineer" implies that the endorser'soferssional training and experience are such that the endorser is well acquainted with the design and performance of automobiles. If the endorse field is, for example, the endorsement would be deceptive.

Example 2: An endorser of a hearing aid is simply referred to as "Doctor" during the course of an advertisement. Tatablikely implies that the endorser is a medical doctor with substantial experience and hearing. If the endorser is not a medical doctor the substantial experience and iology, the endorsement would likely be deceptive. A non-medical "doctor" (e.g., an individual with a Ph.D. in audiology) or a physician without bstantial experience in the area of hearing might be able tendorse the product, but nath imum, the advertisement must clearly and conspicuously disclose that ure and limits of the endorser's expertise

Example 3: A manufacturer of autoobile parts advertises at its products are approved by the "Americal stitute of Science." From its name, consumers

would infer that the "American Institute Science" is a bona fide independent testing organization with expertise in judgiautomobile parts and that, as such, it would not approve any automite part without first testing its efficacy by means of valid scientific methods. If the American Institute of Sience is not such a

this brand's performance. Because clears ervices extensively use cleansers in the course of their business, theliaely conveys that the president has knowledge superior to that ordinary consumers. Accordingly, the president's statement will be deemed to be an expedorsement. The service must, of course, actually use the endorsed cleanser. In addition, because the advertisement implies that the cleaning service has experience with a sonable number of leading competitors' brands available tonsumers, the service must, in fact, have such experience, and have determined, based on its expertise, that the endorsed product's cleaning ability iat least equal (couperior, if such is the net impression conveyed by the advertisement had of the leading competitors' products available to consumers. Becaust is example the cleaning service's president makes no mentiorathhe endorsed cleanser was "chosen," "selected," or otherwise evaluated in side-by-side comparisons against its competitors, it is sufficient if the service has reliedled upon its accumulated experience in evaluating cleansers without having perfect side-by-side or scientific comparisons.

Example 6: A medical doctor states in **and**vertisement for drug that the product will safely allow consumers to low their cholesterol by 50 points. If the materials the doctor reviewed were merletyers from satisfied consumers or the results of a rodent study, the endorse two old likely be deceptive because those materials are not the type of stific evidence that others with the purported degree of expertise old consider adequate support this conclusion

about the product's safety and efficactlynder such circumstances, both the advertiser and the doctor would lixeble for the doctor's misleading

Example 2 A trampoline manufacturer sets apd operates what appears to be an independent trampoline wiew website. The site views the manufacturer's trampolines, as well as those of competimanufacturers. Because the website falsely appears to be independent, it is deceptible [§ 255.5].

Example 3 Assume that a third party or pastes a wireless headphone review website that provides rankings of diffent manufacturers' wireless headphones from most recommended to least neuroended. The website operator accepts money from manufacturers in exchange for higher rankings of their products.

Regardless of whether the website nsakepress claims of objecurers 3i:c 3cm01 8benki-8l

When there exists a connection between the border and the seller of the advertised product that might materially fact the weight or credibility of the endorsement and that connection is not reasonably expected/hayaudience, such connection must be disclosed clearly and conspicuously. Mixtbconnections can include a business, family, or personal relationship. They cardinde monetary payment the provision of free or discounted products or services (including products oceanunrelated to the endorsed product) to an endorse gardless of wheth the advertiser requires an endorsement in return. Mattel connections can also divide other benefits to the endorser, such as early acctess product or the possibility being paid, of winning a prize, or of appearing on television or in other media prioms. Some connections may be immaterial because they are too insignificaraffect the weight or credibility given to endorsements. Material connections doneed to be disclosed when they are understood or expected by all but an immisficant portion of the audience for an endorsement. A disclosure of a materization does not require the complete details of the connection, but it must clearly municate the nature of the connection sufficiently for consumers to evaluate its sforaince. Additional guidance is provided by the examples below.

Example 1: A drug company commissions reseth on its product by an outside organization. The drug company determithes overall subject of the research (e.g., to test the efficacy of newly developed product) and pays a substantial share of the expenses of the research organization determines the protocol for the studydas responsible for conducting it. A

subsequent advertisement by the drug campipmentions the search results as the "findings" of that research organization. Although the design and conduct of the research projectre controlled by the outsides search organization, the weight consumers place on the reported results obelimaterially dected by knowing that the advertiser had fund the project. Therefore, the advertiser's payment of expenses to the research organization and be disclosed in the advertisement.

Example 2: A film star endorses a particul food product in a television commercial. The endorsement regardsy points of taste and individual preference. This endorsement must, of course omply with § 255.1; but, regardless of whether the star's compation for the commercial is a \$1 million cash payment or a royalty for each productd by the advertiser during the next year, no disclosure is required becasisch payments likely are ordinarily expected by viewers.

Example 3: During an appearance by a whethewn professional tennis player on a television talk show, the host commentate the past few months have been the best of the player's career and during thrise the player hassien to their highest level ever in the rankings. The playes prends by attributing that improvement to seeing the ball better, everince having laser vision or rection surgery at a specific identified clinic. The athlete continues tailing about the ease of the procedure, the kindness of the clinic's thous, the short recovery time, and now being able to engage in a variety of activities without glasses acting driving at

night. The athlete does not discloseving a contractual relationship with the clinic that includes paymentor speaking publicly about the surgery. Consumers might not realize that a celebrity dissing a medical procedure in a television interview has been paid for doing sond knowledge of such payments would likely affect the weight or credibility consumers give to the celebrity's endorsement. Without accar and conspicuous dissione during the interview that the athlete has been engaged as a spokesperstoon constitution, this endorsement is likely to be deceptive.

Assume that the clinic uses the terprisayer's endorsement in its own social media posts. The clinichsuld clearly and conspicuous disclose its relationship to the athlete in its posts.

Assume that during the appearance or television talk show, the tennis player is wearing clothes bearing the insignizated athletic wear company with which the athlete also has an endorsement and the Although this contract requires wearing the company's clothes not only on the court but also in public appearances, when possible, the athletes not mention the clothes or the company during the appearance on the show disclosure is equired because no representation is being made atther clothes in this context.

Example 4: A television ad for an anti-sning product features a physician who says, "I have seen dozens of products con the market over years and, in my opinion, this is the best ever." of sumers would expect the physician to be reasonably compensated for appearint henad. Consumers are unlikely, however, to expect that an expendorser like the hysician receives a percentage of gross produstes or owns part of the mpany, and either of these facts would likely materially affect the codibility that consumers attach to the endorsement. Accordingly, the advertisement hould clearly and conspicuously disclose such a connection between company and the physician.

Assume that the physician is also paidots about the product on social media, a context in which consumers might not pect that the physician was compensated and more likely to expect that the physician is expressing an independent, professional opinion. Accordingly, the possional clearly and conspicuously disclose the doctor's connection with the company.

Example 5: In a television advertisement, auditual patron of a restaurant, who is neither known to the publicor presented as an expert, is shown seated at the counter. The diner is asked for apostaneous" opinion of new food product served in the restaurant. Assume, filts at the advertiser had posted a sign on the door of the restaurant informing all whoutered that day that patrons would be interviewed by the advertises part of its televisiopromotion of its new "meatalternative" burger. A patron seeing such might be more inclined to give a positive review of that item in order to television. The advertisement should thus clearly and conspicuously improviewers that the patrons on screen knew in advance that they might appear in a television advertisement if they gave the burger a good review because theurimation may materially affect the weight or credibility of the endorsement.

Assume, in the alternative, that the vertiser had not postdethe sign and that patrons asked for their opinions about thurger did not know or have reason to believe until after their response that three being recorded for use in an advertisement. No disclosure is required, even if patrons ere also told, after

the interview, that they would be pational allowing the use of their opinions in advertising.

Example 6: An infomercial producer wants toclude consumer endorsements in an infomercial for an automotive attrale product not yet on the market. The producer's staff selects several peopheowork as "extras" in commercials and asks them to use the product and repack telling them that they will be paid a small amount if selected

9 of § 255.2.] Even if adequate disclossuappear in each centivized review, the practice could still be deceptive hife solicited reviews contain star ratings that are included in an average stating for the product and including the incentivized reviews materially coneases that average star rating.

Example 7: A woodworking influencer

posts on-demand videos of various projectstood manufacturer sendle influencer an expensive full-size lathe in the hope that influencer wouldpost about it. The woodworker uses the lathe for several problemand comments favorably about it in videos. If a significant proposion of viewers are likely unware that the influencer received the lathe free of charge, theodworker should clearly and conspicuously disclose receiving it for free, fact that could affect the criedity that viewers attach to the endorsements. The manufacturer shedledse the woodworkeat the time it provides the lathe that this menection should be disclosed, dait should have reasonable procedures in place to monitone influencer's posting for compliance and follow those procedures. [See § 255.1(d).]

Example 8: An online community has a seconti dedicated to discussions of robotic products. Community members assid answer questions and otherwise exchange information and opinions abcoultotic products and developments.

Unbeknownst to this community, an employee of leading home robot manufacturer has been posting messages discussion board promoting the manufacturer's new product. Knowledgethis posters employment likely would affect the weight orredibility of the endorsements. Therefore, the poster

should clearly and conspicuously disclose ithelationship to the manufacturer to community members. To limit its own bility for such posts, the employer should be engaged in appropriate train in train the employer has directed such endorsements therwise has reason to know about them, it should also be monitoring the and taking other steps to ensure compliance. [See § 255.1(d).] The dissoure requirements this example would apply equally to consumer reviews of the opduct posted on retail websites or review platforms.

Example 9: A college student signs up to bertpaf a program in which points are awarded each time a participant spoost social media about a particular advertiser's products. Pariticants can then exchange their points for prizes, such as concert tickets or electrics. These incentivesowld materially affect the weight or credibility of the college usdent's endorsements. They should be clearly and conspicuously disclosed, and at these disclosures are being provided.

Example 10: Great Paper Company sells photopy paper with packaging that has a seal of approval from the No Chlorine Products Association, a non-profit third-party association. Get Paper Company paid the No Chlorine Products Association a reasonable fee foe the valuation of its product and its manufacturing process. Consumers would be pay for this kind of ceiffication. Therefore, there is no unexpected material

connection between the company and the association, and the use of the seal without disclosure of the fee paid to the association would not be deceptive.

Example 11: A coffee lover creates a blogathreviews coffee makers. The blogger writes the content independentify the marketers of the coffee makers, but includes affiliate links to websites which consumers can buy these products from their marketers. Whenever a consumplic on such a link and buys the product, the blogger receives mall portion of the sale. Because knowledge of this compensation could affect weight or credibility site visitors give to the blogger's reviews, the reviews should clearly and conspicuously disclose the compensation.

Example 12: Near the beginning of a podcatstle host reads what is obviously a commercial for a product. Fen without a statement identifying the advertiser as a sponsor, listeners would likely still exect that the podcaster was compensated, so there is no need for a disclosof payment for the commercial. Depending upon the language of the commercial, however, the audience may believe that the host is expressing their own views in the commercial, in which case the host would need to hold the views expressed. [See § 255.0(b).]

Assume that the host also mentions the dpct in a social media post. The fact that the host did not have to make so to sure in the post that has no bearing on whether there has to be a dissolve in the social media post.

 \S 255.6 Endorsements directed to children.

Endorsements in advertisements addresseldilledren may be of special concern because