## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

**COMMISSIONERS:** Lina M. Khan, Chair

Rebecca Kelly Slaughter Alvaro M. Bedoya

Alvaro M. Bedoya Melissa Holyoak Andrew Ferguson Under Commission ruleshe Commission is committed to the expeditious conduct of its administrative proceedigs, 16 C.F.R. § 3. 1, and "[t]he pendency of a collateral federal court action that relates to the administrative adjudication shall not stay the proceeding." 16 C.F.R. § 3.41(f). However, "upon a showing of good cause" the Commissing order a later date for the evidentiary hearing to commence." 16 C.F.R. § 3.41(b). For the reasons described below, we deny Respondents' Motion.

Here, Respondents rgue that delaying the administrative hearing may obviate the need for the hearing based upon the district court's decision they make no commitment to drop their merger if a preliminary injunction is granted. Respondents assert that they "may determine not to continue with the proposed transaction" if they do not prevail on the preliminary injunction, but their Transaction Agreement as written requires joint action to terminate the transaction. Although Respondents are free to take the required steps, they have not done so, nor have they committed to doing so pending the outcome of the preliminarction hearing. In short, Respondents have not demonstrated a district court loss would in factorize the need for the administrative hearing, en though the could do so.

Respondents also argue that delaying the administrative hearing would reduce the burden on Complaint Counsel, Respondents, and third parties by eliminating overlapping deadlines in the administrative and federal court proceedingspondents do not contend that the administrative and court hearings will overlap, but ratingue that existing procedural deadlines in the two proceedings "will create distractions" for those involved in the

