## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SUMM    | ARY OF CASES  | iii |
|---------|---|-----|
| PETITI  | ONS FOR REVIEW                                      |     |
| (A) C   | Orders to Cease and Desist                          |     |
| INJUN   | CTION AND CONSUMER REDRESS CASES                    |     |
| (A)     | Preliminary Injunctions (Mergers/Acquisitions)      | 1   |
| (B)     | Preliminary Injunctions (Other)                     |     |
| (C)     | Permanent Injunctions/Consumer Redress              | 5   |
| CIVIL I | PENALTY AND ENFORCEMENT CASES                       |     |
| (A)     | Consumer Protection                                 |     |
| (B)     | Competition   | 66  |
| SUBPO   | DENA AND CID ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS                | 66  |
| SUITS ' | TO ENJOIN FTC ACTION AND OTHER DEFENSIVE LITIGATION | 69  |
| AMICU   | JS CURIAE BRIEFS OR PARTIAL INTERVENTIONS           |     |

#### PETITIONS FOR REVIEW

## (A) Orders to Cease and Desist

## TRAFFIC JAM EVENTS v. FTC, No. 21-60947 (5th Cir.)

| Parties:            | 1-800 Contacts, Inc.  |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Joel Marcus (OGC)<br>Imad Abyad   |
| Nature of Action:   | Petition for review of final Commission order.  |
| Status:             | Petition for review filed 12/21/21.<br>Traffic Jam Event's opening brief filed 3/28/22; Commission's answering brief filed 6/10/22. |

## (B) <u>Rulemakings</u>

(None Pending)

## **INJUNCTIONS AND CONSUMER REDRESS**

#### (A) Preliminary Injunctions (Mergers/Acquisitions)

## *FTC v. HACKENSACK MERIDIAN HEALTH*, No. 21-2603 (3d Cir); No. 20-cv-18140 (D.N.J.)

| Parties:            | Hackensack Meridian Health<br>Englewood Healthcare Foundation   |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Jonathan Lasken (Office of Director/BC)<br>Mariel Goetz (OGC)   |
| Nature of Action:   | Action for preliminary injunction to block the proposed merger between Hackensack Meridian Health and Englewood Healthcare.   |
| Status:             | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 12/4/20.</li> <li>TRO entered 12/4/20.</li> <li>Commission motion for preliminary injunction filed 3/22/21; Defendants' opposition filed 4/12/21; Commission reply filed 4/29/21.</li> <li>Evidentiary hearing held from 5/10/21 to 5/18/21.</li> <li>Order granting motion for preliminary injunction entered 8/4/21.</li> <li>Notice of appeal from Englewood and Hackensack filed 8/25/21.</li> </ul> |

Assigned Attorneys: James Weingarten (BC/Office of Director) Jessica Moy Adam Pergament (BC/Mergers IV) Alexander Bryson Cory Gordon Emily Blackburn Karen Hunt Mark Seidman Rohan Pai Ryan Andrews Ryan Maddock (C)

| Alleged Conduct: | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act and FTC's Telemarketing Sales Rule in connection with the marketing and sale of student loan debt relief services.  |
|------------------|---|
| Status:          | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 11/4/19.</li> <li>Ex parte TRO with asset freeze, appointment of temporary receiver, and immediate access entered 11/4/19.</li> <li>Preliminary injunction hearing held 11/18/19; chambers order granting preliminary injunction entered 11/26/19.</li> <li>Preliminary injunction order entered 12/17/19.</li> <li>Notice of appeal by defendants Carey G. Howe, Shunmin Mike Hsu, Ruddy Palacios, and Oliver Pomaz filed 1/24/20.</li> <li>Stipulated order for permanent injunction and monetary relief against Carey G. Howe, Anna C. Howe, Shunmin "Mike" Hsu, Ruddy Palacios, and Oliver Pomazi entered 9/9/20.</li> </ul> |
|                  | Appellants' motion to dismi(z)4 1 (')3 ( -:)-2ww-19.2atos,ger gered 9/9/20.   |

## Cynthia Powell Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (co-plaintiff)

| Assigned Attorneys: | Amy Hocevar (ECR)<br>Christian Capece<br>Derek Diaz<br>Harris Senturia<br>Maris Snell<br>Fil de Banate   |
|---------------------|--|
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act and<br>the Unordered Merchandise Statute, and in violation of Pennsylvania<br>consumer protection law, in connection with Defendants' deceptive selling<br>of and collection of payment for publication subscriptions. |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 5/13/20.<br>Motion to file first amended complaint adding  |

- Order granting FTC's motion for summary judgment in part and denying in part, leaving amount of equitable monetary relief to be determined at trial, entered 4/6/22.
- Order vacating trial and directing parties to confer regarding remaining monetary relief issues entered 4/8/22.
- Joint status report, reporting that parties have been unable to reach agreement to settle case and are prepared to proceed with bench trial on appropriate amount of monetary relief, filed 5/9/22.

## *FTC v. APEX CAPITAL GROUP, LLC*, No. 22-55342 (9th Cir.); No. 2:18-cv-09573 (C.D. Cal.)

Parties: Apex Capital Group, LLC Capstone Capital Solutions Limited Clik Trix Limited **Empire Partners Limited** Interzoom Capital Limited Lead Blast Limited Mountain Venture Solutions Limited Nutra Global Limited **Omni Group Limited** Rendezvous it Limited Sky Blue Media Limited **Tactic Solutions Limited** Phillip Peikos David Barnett SIA Transact Pro Mark Moskvins Thomas W. McNamara (Receiver) Wells Fargo & Co. (Proposed Intervenor) Wells Fargo Bank N.A. (Proposed Intervenor) Assigned Attorneys: Jonathan W. Ware (BCP/ENF) Sarah Waldrop

Delilah Vinzond[(D)o (am)-6 (ap002 Tw xe)4 (no]TJ0 0 Td(u9T(lila)i.1 (AlilaTjEMC /P AssTacd1 ( L)t(n)2 tu Tc 0 Tw :/EMC /P &MCIssTata 0 Td[(D)4 (28Tc -0.002 Tw 3 0 Td[(I

- Amended complaint naming SIA Transact Pro and Mark Moskvins filed 5/30/19.
- Stipulated orders for permanent injunction and monetary judgment as to David Barnett, Phillip Peikos, Apex Capital Group, LLC, Capstone Capital Solutions Limited, Clik Trix Limited, Empire Partners Limited, Interzoom Capital Limited, Lead Blast Limited, Mountain Venture Solutions Limited, Nutra Global Limited, Omni Group Limited, Rendezvous IT Limited, Sky Blue Media Limited, and Tactic Solutions Limited entered 9/11/19.
- Stipulated order for permanent injunction and monetary judgment as to Mark Moskvins and SIA Transact Pro entered 1/15/20.
- Motion to set aside monetary judgment in light of *AMG* filed 7/9/21; Commission's opposition filed 8/9/21; reply filed 8/16/21; motion denied 9/3/21.
- Wells Fargo's motion to intervene to challenge monetary judgment filed 1/18/22; Commission's opposition filed 2/7/22; Wells Fargo's reply filed 2/21/22; order denying motion to intervene entered 3/10/22.

|                     | Danielle Cadiz<br>Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc. (California Corp.) d/b/a Rengalife<br>Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc. (Nevada Corp.)<br>Redwood Scientific Technologies, Inc. (Delaware Corp.)<br>Identify, LLC<br>Advanced Mens Institute Prolongz LLC d/b/a AMI<br>Runway Products, LLC<br>Carols Place Limited Partnership<br>Jacques Poujade (third party)<br>True Pharmastrip, Inc. (proposed intervenor)<br>VPL Medical, Inc. (intervenor limited to VPL TRO and PI)<br>Inter/Media Time Buying Corporation (third party)   |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Stacy Rene Procter (WRLA)   |
|                     | Edwin Rodriguez (BCP/AP)  |
|                     | Elizabeth Sanger  |
|                     | James Prunty<br>Shine Modell  |
|                     | Shira Modell<br>Mark Hogodus (OCC)  |
|                     | Mark Hegedus (OGC)  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | $Deceptive acts or \label{eq:lambda} Deceptive acts or eq:$ |

- Commission's application for contempt against defendants Eunjung Cardiff, Jason Cardiff, and third-party Jacques Poujade for violations of the TRO and preliminary injunction filed 6/17/19; opposition to contempt motion filed 7/8/19; reply in support of contempt motion filed 7/15/19.
- Order finding defendant Jason Cardiff in contempt entered 7/29/19; order finding defendant Jason Cardiff no longer in contempt entered 7/30/19.

Motion to intervene by True Pharmastrip, Inc. filed 10/18/19; Commission's opposition to motion filed 10/28/19; reply in support of motion filed 11/4/19; order denying motion entered 1/10/20.

Order requiring turnover of funds entered 10/29/19.

Application to modify or stay order requiring turnover of funds pending appeal filed 11/22/19; Commission's opposition to application filed 11/26/19; reply in support of application filed 11/27/19; order denying application entered 12/17/19.

Notice of appeal by Jacques Poujade filed 11/27/19.

Motion by Jaques Poujade in 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit to stay lower court action filed 12/23/19; motion denied 2/28/20.

Notice of appeal by True Pharmastrip, Inc., filed 1/15/20.

Motion to dissolve preliminary injunction by Eunjung Cardiff and Jason Cardiff filed 1/20/20; motion denied 3/30/20.

Commission's motion for contempt against Eunjung Cardiff and Jason Cardiff for violation of preliminary injunction filed 3/2/20; order holding Cardiff Defendants in contempt entered 3/31/20.

Notice of appeal by Eunjung Cardiff and Jason Cardiff filed 4/7/20; appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction on 6/26/20.

Appellants Poujade and TPI's opening brief filed 4/6/20; FTC's answering brief filed 7/13/20; Poujade and TPI reply brief filed 8/3/20.

Commission's motion for contempt against Jacques Poujade filed 5/29/20.

Commission's motion for contempt sanctions against Jason Cardiff and Eunjung Cardiff for failure to comply with 3/31/20 contempt order filed 6/19/20; opposition to sanctions motion filed 7/10/20; reply in support of sanctions motion filed 7/17/20; reply in opposition filed 7/21/20; order of contempt and provisional sanctions entered 7/24/20.

Cardiffs' "notice of purge of contempt" filed 9/3/20; Commission's opposition filed 9/4/20; Cardiff reply filed 9/5/20; order finding Cardiffs in contempt but deferring incarceration entered 9/9/20.

Temporary restraining order with asset freeze and other equitable relief relipt agrh a0.004 's 15.47 -715 Td[(7/)-2 8.EM0 Tc 0 Tw 2 (20.)]TJ( )Tj7MC /P & AM Property Management, LLC Fmg Partners, LLC Zinly, LLC Jonathan P. Hanley Benjamin R. Horton Sandra X. Hanley American Home Loans, LLC

Assigned Attorneys: Gregory Ashe (BCP/FP) Jack Metzler (OGC)

Christian, Chan Martin, Julie Santos, David Heiman, and Heartland Property Group, Inc

Assigned Attorneys: Jonathan Cohen (BCP/ENF) Amanda Kostner Benjamin Theisman Elizabeth Averill Khouryanna DiPrima Theodore (Jack) Metzler (OGC)

Alleged Conduct:

- Order denying defendant Anris Pukke's motion to dismiss entered 4/30/19.
- Appellant Atlantic International Bank, LTD's (No. 19-1925) opening brief filed 5/14/19; Commission's response brief filed 6/12/19;
- Commission's motion for stay of proceedings as to defendants Frank Costazno, Ecological Fox LLC, and Deborah Connelly filed 7/23/19; order granting motion entered 7/26/19.
- Commission's proposed preliminary injunction filed 8/12/19; defendant Chadwick's opposition to proposed preliminary injunction filed 8/22/19; Commission's reply in support of preliminary injunction filed 9/3/19.
- Defendant Chadwick's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction filed 8/22/19; Commission's response to motion filed 8/27/19; order denying motion to dismiss entered 9/5/19.
- Order extending stay of litigation against Atlantic International Bank entered 9/6/19.
- Joint motion to dismiss Atlantic International Bank's appeal (No. 19-1925) filed 9/10/19.
- Stipulated order for permanent injunction and monetary judgment against defendant Atlantic International Bank entered 9/25/19.
- Preliminary injunction as to defendants Andris Pukke, Peter Baker, Luke Chadwick, John Usher, Certain Corporate Defendants, and the estate of John Pukke entered 10/3/19.
- Memorandum opinion and order addressing calculation of equitable monetary relief entered 10/17/19.
- Order that defendants' are not entitled to jury trials on the contempt motions entered 10/22/19.
- Notice of appeal of preliminary injunction by defendant Peter Baker (No. 19-2306) filed 10/25/19.

Notice of appeal by Peter Baker (No. 20-1594) filed 5/26/20.

Baker's opening brief filed 6/23/20; Commission's response brief filed 7/10/20.

Baker's appeal dismissed 9/28/20.

Notice of appeal (No. 20-2215) by Andris Pukke filed 11/9/20.

Final order for permanent injunction and monetary judgment against Defendants Andris Pukke, Peter Baker, and Luke Chadwick Consolidated appeals tentatively calendared for oral argument

- Motion for judgment on the pleadings by John Dorsey and Thomas McCann filed 6/11/19; Commission's opposition filed 6/25/19; defendants' reply filed 7/12/19; motion denied 8/28/19.
- Motion to reconsider or certify denial of motion to dismiss by Electronic Payment Systems, LLC, and Electronic Payment Transfer, LLC filed 9/10/19; Commission's opposition filed 9/24/19; defendants' reply filed 10/1/19; motion denied 10/23/19.
- Motion for summary judgment by Electronic Payment Systems, LLC and Electronic Payment Transfer filed 3/18/20; FTC's opposition filed 4/16/20; reply filed 4/22/20; motion denied 8/31/20.
- Motion for summary judgment by John Dorsey and Thomas McCann filed 9/25/20; Commission's opposition filed 10/16/20; defendants' reply filed 11/10/20.
- Motion for summary judgment by the Commission filed 9/25/20; defendants' oppositions filed 11/25/20; Commission response filed 1/8/21
- Stipulated orders for permanent injunction and judgment against Defendants Electronic Payment Systems of America, Inc., Electronic Payment Services Inc., and Jay Wigdore entered 1/22/21.
- Motion for reconsideration of motion for judgment on the pleadings by Defendants John Dorsey and Thomas McCann filed 5/10/21.
- Order 1) denying defendants' motion to strike, 2) granting in part and denying in part the FTC's motion for summary judgment against Defendants Electronic Payment Systems LLC, Electronic Payment Transfer LLC, John Dorsey, and Thomas McCann, and entering judgment against these Defendants on Counts II and VI of the First Amended Complaint, 3) granting the FTC's motion to withdraw parts of motion for summary judgment regarding monetary relief, 4) denying a permanent injunction, 5) granting in part and denying in part Dorsey and McCann's motion for summary judgment, 6) dismissing without prejudice the FTC's claim for equitable monetary relief against El

## FTC v. ELEGANT SOLUTIONS, INC., Nos. 20-55766 (9th Cir.); 8:19-cv-01333 (C.D. Cal.)

| Parties:            | Elegant Solutions, Inc., d/b/a Federal Direct Group  |
|---------------------|--|
|                     | Trend Capital Ltd., d/b/a Mission Hills Federal  |
|                     | Dark Island Industries, Inc., d/b/a Federal Direct Group, and f/k/a                                      |
|                     | Cosmopolitan Funding Inc.  |
|                     | Heritage Asset Management, Inc., d/b/a National Secure Processing  |
|                     | Tribune Management, Inc., d/b/a The Student Loan Group   |
|                     | Mazen Radwan   |
|                     | Rima Radwan  |
|                     | Labiba Velazquez   |
|                     | Dean Robbins   |
| Assigned Attorneys: | Michelle Grajales (BCP/FP)   |
| <i></i>             | Samuel Jacobson  |
|                     | John Jacobs  |
|                     | Imad Abyad (OGC)   |
|                     | Matthew Hoffman  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act and                                 |
|                     | the Telemarketing Sales Rule in connection with marketing and sale of student loan debt relief services. |
| Status:             |  |

# *FTC v. ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC.*, No. 22-5137 (D.C. Cir.); No. 1:21-cv-00217 (D.D.C.)

| Parties:  | Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc.<br>Endo International, plc<br>Impax Laboratories, LLC<br>Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Inc.   |
|---|---|
| Assigned Attorneys:                                   | James Weingarten (BC/Office of the Director)<br>Eric Sprague (BC/HC)<br>Kara Monahan<br>Markus Meier<br>Emma Dick<br>Evan Cartagena<br>Garth Huston<br>Jamie Towey<br>Mark Hegdus (OGC)   |
| Alleged Conduct:                                      | Violations of Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act and unfair methods of competition in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, in connection with an illegal agreement to eliminate competition in the oxymorphone market, a long-acting opioid used for the treatment of moderate to severe pain.   |
| Status:   | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 1/25/21.</li> <li>Defendants' motions to dismiss filed 4/26/21; FTC opposition filed 6/10/21; Defendants' replies filed 7/02/21; motion to dismiss granted 3/4/22</li> <li>FTC notice of appeal filed 5/23/22.</li> <li>FTC's opening brief due 9/12/22; appellees' brief due 11/23/22; FTC's reply brief due 12/23/22.</li> </ul> |
| FTC v. F9 ADVERTISING LLC, No. 3:19-cv-01174 (D.P.R.) |   |
| Parties:  | F9 Advertising LLC<br>Gopalkrishna Pai<br>Ace Initiative Group, LLC<br>Connected AD Station LLC<br>Fastlane Sales, LLC<br>Hyper Marketing Solutions LLC   |

Hyper Marketing Solutions LLC Media Redefined LLC Primed Marketing LLC Responsive Media LLC

Assigned Attorneys: Michael Schaefer (BCP/ENF) Gregory J. Madden Alleged Conduct:

| Assigned Attorneys: | Brittany Frassetto (BCP/FP)<br>Greg Madden   |
|---------------------|--|
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive and unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act in connection with the advertising, marketing, and offering of commercial fuel card products.   |
| Status:             | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 12/20/19.</li> <li>Commission motion for summary judgment filed 4/16/21; Defendants' cross motion for partial summary judgment and opposition to Commission's motion filed 5/16/21; Commission response filed 6/18/21.</li> <li>Commission motion to stay pending resolution of administrative litigation or dismiss without prejudice filed 8/13/21; Defendants' response filed 8/27/21; Commission reply filed 9/10/21; motion denied 2/7/22.</li> <li>Trial set to begin 8/29/22.</li> </ul> |

## FTC v. FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS CORP., No. 2:21-cv-4155 (C.D. Cal.)

| Parties:            | Frontier Communications Corporation<br>Frontier Communications Parent, Inc.<br>Frontier Communications Intermediate, LLC<br>Frontier Communications Holdings, LLC<br>State California, (Co-Plaintiff)<br>States of Arizona, Florida, Indiana, Michigan, North Carolina, and<br>Wisconsin (dismissed Co-Plaintiffs)  |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Barbara Chun (WRLA)<br>Robert Quigley<br>Miles Freeman<br>Matthew Fine  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive and unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act in connection with the advertising and sale of Internet service plans that failed to reach speeds promised to consumers.  |
| Status:             | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 5/19/21.</li> <li>Motion to dismiss the complaint by defendants filed 7/20/21; plaintiffs' consolidated opposition filed 8/13/21; defendants' reply filed 8/30/21.</li> <li>Order denying in part and granting in part defendants' motion to dismiss, dismissing all state claims except California's for lack of personal jurisdiction, entered 10/4/21.</li> </ul> |

Stipulated order for permanent injunction and monetary judgment entered 5/6/22.

FTC v. F&G INTERNATIONAL GROUP HOLDINGS, LLC., No. 6:20-cv-73 (S.D. Ga.)

| Parties:   | F&G International Group Holdings, LLC.<br>FG International, LLC.<br>J. Glenn Davis   |  |
|--|--|--|
| Assigned Attorneys:  | Anna Burns (SER)<br>Brian Martin Welke (BCP/ENF)<br>Philip Brown<br>Alejandro Rosenberg  |  |
| Alleged Conduct:   | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act in connection with false or unsubstantiated R-value claims about their architectural coating products.   |  |
| Status:  | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 7/28/20.</li> <li>Commission motion for sanctions for spoliation filed 2/24/21; Defendants' response filed 3/12/21; Commission reply filed 3/26/21.</li> <li>Commission's motion for summary judgment against all Defendants filed10/5/2021; Defendants' opposition filed 10/26/21; Commission's reply filed 11/9/21.</li> <li>Defendants' motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, motion for summary judgment on FTC's claims for equitable monetary relief filed 10/15/2021; Commission's opposition filed 10/20/21.</li> </ul> |  |
| FTC v. GOLDEN SUNRISE NUTRACEUTICAL, INC., No. 1:20-cv-01060 (E.D. Cal.) |  |  |
| Parties:   | Golden Sunrise Nutraceutical, Inc.<br>Golden Sunrise Pharmaceutical, Inc.<br>Huu Tieu<br>Stephen Meis  |  |
| Assigned Attorneys:  | Edward Hynes (SWR)<br>Reid Tepfer  |  |
| Alleged Conduct:   | Deceptive acts and practices in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act in connection with the marketing and sale of purported COVID-19, cancer, and Parkinson's disease treatments.  |  |
| Status:  | Complaint filed 07/30/20.<br>TRO entered 08/05/20.<br>Stipulated preliminary injunctions entered 08/27/20.   |  |

|  | <ul> <li>Stipulated order for permanent injunction and monetary judgment as to Defendant Stephen Meis entered 6/11/21.</li> <li>Motion for summary judgment as to defendants Golden Sunrise Nutraceutical, Inc., Golden Sunrise Pharmaceutical, Inc., and Huu Tieu filed 10/1/21.</li> <li>Motion to self-represent by Huu Tieu on behalf of himself, Golden Sunrise Nutraceutical, and Golden Sunrise Pharmaceutical filed 6/21/22; motions denied 6/23/22.</li> </ul> |  |
|--|---|--|
| FTC v. GRAHAM, No. 3:22-cv-655 (M.D. Fla.) |   |  |
| Parties:                                   | Treashonna P. Graham, a/k/a Shonna Graham, a/k/a Treashonna Lee<br>Williams, a/k/a Shonna Lee Williams<br>C Lee Enterprises LLC, also d/b/a The Grant Bae and Grant Bae<br>Consulting and More  |  |
| Assigned Attorneys:                        | Daniel Wilkes (BCP-ENF)<br>Miriam Lederer   |  |
| Alleged Conduct:                           | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section HH, Tw 3 e[(H)2 c.haok9e .75io rJTc -   |  |

Chet Andrews Patricia Brandmeier Robinson [executor of estate of Jerry L. Robinson]

Assigned Attorneys: Korin Felix (BCP/ENF) Omolara Joseney Reenah L. Kim Hong Park Amanda C. Basta Kimberly L. Nelson

- Motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim by defendants iStream Financial Services, Inc, Chet Andrews, Patricia Brandmeier Robinson, Dale Paul Cleveland, EDebitPay, LLC, William Wilson, James McCarter, Mark Ward, and Earl Robinson filed 11/15/18; Commission's opposition filed 12/13/18; defendants' reply filed 2/8/19; order denying motions to dismiss entered 2/12/19.
- Order granting Defendants' request for leave to file an interlocutory appeal entered 7/24/19.

Order of 11th Circuit denying petition to appeal entered 11/20/19.

- Defendants' motion to stay pending the Supreme Court's decision in *AMG Capital Mgmt., LLC v. FTC* filed 7/20/20; Commission's opposition filed 8/3/20; defendants' reply filed 8/10/20; order denying motion to stay entered 9/10/20.
- Commission motions for summary judgment as to Defendants EDebitPay LLC, Dale Paul Cleveland, William Wilson, Earl Robinson, Patricia Brandmeier Robinson, James McCarter, Mark Ward, iStream Financial Services Inc., Kris Axberg, Richard Joachim, and Guadalupe Andrews filed 2/8/21; Defendants' oppositions filed 4/8/21; Commission replies filed 5/20/21.

Motion for summary judgment by Defendants Kris Axberg, Richard Joachim, and iStream Financial Services, Inc. filed 6/17/21.

Pending motions for summary judgment terminated without prejudice 6/21/21.

- Cross-motions for summary judgment by Commission and Defendants filed 8/10/21; oppositions filed 10/29/21; replies filed 12/3/21; opposition by defendant Earl G. Robinson filed 12/13/21.
- Unopposed motion to stay resolution of motions as to defendants iStream Financial Services, Inc., Kris Axberg, and Richard Joachim pending finalization of settlement filed 12/15/21.
- Stipulated permanent injunction and monetary relief as to defendants iStream Financial Services, Kris Axberg, and Richard Joachim entered 2/24/22.
- Motion to dismiss Guadalupe Andrews on behalf of estate of defendant Chet Andrews filed 3/9/22; Commission's opposition brief filed 3/23/22; reply filed 4/6/22; order finding motion moot entered 6/23/22.
- Order denying Commission's motions for summary judgment, granting in part and denying in part motion for summary judgment by defendants EDebit Pay, Dale Cleveland and William Wilson, and orders denying other motions for summary judgment, entered 3/28/22.
- Stipulated orders resolving claims against estates of defendants Jerry Robinson and Chet Andrews entered 6/22/22.

## FTC v. HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, No. 1:22-cv-1919 (N.D. Ill.)

| Parties:            | <ul><li>Human Resource Development Services Inc, d/b/a Saint James School of<br/>Medicine and HRDS.</li><li>Delta Financial Solutions Inc.</li><li>Kaushik Guha</li></ul> |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Quinn Martin (BCP/FP)<br>James Davis (MWR)  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a), the Telemarketing   |

Defendant's application for attorney's fees and expenses un

- Notice of stipulated proposed orders for permanent injunction and monetary judgment against all defendants except Kevin Guice filed 9/1/17.
- Order for permanent injunction and monetary relief against Kevin Guice entered 12/7/18.
- Order granting summary judgment, with permanent injunction and monetary relief, against Kevin Guice entered 12/10/18.

Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment against Kevin Guice filed on 01/8/19.Stipulated final orders against defendants Chase Jackowski, Linda McNealy, Clarence Wahl, Karen Wahl, and corporate defendants Life Management Services of Orange County, LLC, Loyal Financial & Credit Services, LLC, IVD Recovery, LLC, KWP Services, LLC, KWP Services of Florida LLC, LPSOFFLA LLC, LPSOFFLORIDA L.L.C., PW&F Consultants of Florida LLC, UAD Secure Services LLC, UAD Secure Service of FL LLC, URB Management, LLC, YCC Solutions LLC, and YFP Solutions LLC entered 4/23/19.

Stipulated final orders against relief defendants Robert Guice and Timothy Woods entered 4/23/19.

Order denying motion to alter or amend judgement against Kevin Guice entered 9/24/19.

- Notice of appeal of final judgment by Kevin Guice (<u>No. 19-14248</u>) filed 10/24/19.
- Order denying motion to alter or amend judgment and for stay pending appeal and granting Receiver's motion for order directing marshals to put Receiver into possession of Oriskany Drive Property entered 1/29/21,

Notice of appeal (No. 21-10688) filed 2/28/21.

- Appellant Kevin Guice's opening brief filed 2/22/21; Commission's brief filed 4/19/21.
- Clerk's entry of dismissal for want of prosecution (No. 21-10688) entered 5/19/21.
- Opinion affirming summary judgment (No. 19-14248) issued 3/9/22.

#### FTC v. MAIL TREE INC., No. 0:15-cv-61034 (S.D. Fla.)

Parties:

Awards Research Consultant, LLC John Leon MCP Marketing Activities, LLC d/b/a Magellan Mail and Magellan Marketing Mail Tree Inc. Mailpro Americas Corp. Master -0.00 ( dS0 Td[(9 [(M)-5 (ar)-1 (k1)-4 (et)-6 (i)-6 (n)-4 ((n)- (i)-2 (nt) (er)--5 ( W (

|                             | Michael McKay Co.<br>Matthew Pisoni<br>Serena Pisoni<br>Marcus Pradel<br>Victor Ramirez<br>Romeria Global, LLC d/b/a Lowenstein Varick and Nagel<br>Spin Mail, Inc.<br>Supreme Media, LLC<br>Trans National Concepts, Inc.<br>Vernier Holdings, Inc.  |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys:         | Guy Ward (MWR)<br>Douglas McKenney  |
| Alleged Conduct:            | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act in connection with sweepstakes scam.  |
| Status:<br>FTC v. META PLAT | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 5/18/15.</li> <li>Ex parte TRO entered 5/19/15.</li> <li>Preliminary injunction against all defendants except Marcus Pradel and<br/>Trans National Concepts entered 6/12/15.</li> <li>Stipulated preliminary injunction as to defendants Marcus Pradel and<br/>Trans National Concepts entered 6/12/15.</li> <li>Order staying case entered 6/12/15.</li> <li>Unopposed motion for specific relief from asset freeze filed 10/4/19; order<br/>granting motion entered 10/7/19.</li> <li>Commission status report on status of criminal case filed 3/1/21.</li> <li>Unopposed motion for specific relief from asset freeze filed 5/4/22; order<br/>granting motion entered 5/9/22.</li> </ul> |
| Parties:                    | Meta Platforms, Inc., f/k/a Facebook, Inc.  |
| Assigned Attorneys:         | Daniel John Matheson (BC/Office of the Director)<br>David Owyang (BC/TED)<br>Eric Cochran<br>Gary London, Jr.<br>Krisha Cerilli<br>Maria DiMoscato<br>Mark Silvia<br>Michael Mikawa<br>Michael Mikawa<br>Michael Smith<br>Noel Miller<br>Owen Masters<br>Patricia Galvan<br>Rebecca Weinstein   |

|                  | Edwin Rodriguez<br>Alejandro Rosenberg<br>Valerie Verduce   |
|------------------|---|
| Alleged Conduct: | Deceptive practices in violation of Sections 5 and 12 of the FTC Act in connection with the sale of a purported weight loss product.  |
| Status:          | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 11/10/04.</li> <li>Final judgment entered 12/16/08.</li> <li>Notice of appeal filed 2/4/09.</li> <li>Opinion affirming district court order entered 12/15/09.</li> <li>Petition for rehearing <i>en banc</i> filed 1/29/10; order denying petition entered 4/26/10.</li> <li>Appellant's petition for a writ of certiorari filed 7/23/10; Commission's opposition filed 9/24/10; appellant's petition denied 11/1/10.</li> <li>Commission's motion to hold defendants Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Stephen Smith and Jared Wright in contempt filed 11/1/11; defendant's opposition filed 12/2/11.</li> <li>Commission's motion to hold defendant Terrill Mark Wright in contempt filed 3/21/12.</li> <li>Order to show cause why defendants Wright, Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Stephen Smith, and Jared Wright should not be held in contempt entered 5/11/12; response filed 11/22/12; defendants' response filed 2/14/12; Commission's reply brief filed 1/10/13.</li> <li>Order finding defendants liable for contempt and ordering further proceedings on remedy entered 8/8/13.</li> <li>Trial on contempt remedies held on 1/21/14 - 1/24/14.</li> <li>Order holding defendants liable for contempt and directing compensatory sanctions to redress consumers entered 5/14/14.</li> <li>Defendants' notices of appeal filed 7/11/14 and 7/14/14.</li> <li>Order by district court imposing coercive contempt sanction and directing that defendants Jared Wheat and Stephen Smith be incarcerated until they comply with product recall entered 9/2/14.</li> <li>Petition for mandamus by defendants Wheat and Smith seeking to overturn coercive contempt order filed 9/4/14; denied 9/4/14.</li> <li>Notice of appeal filed 7/12/14; motion for a stay by defendants Wheat and Smith filed 9/4/14; motion to</li> </ul> |

Motion to stay execution of judgment pending appeal entered 10/30/14; denied 1/23/15.

Appellants' briefs (No. 14-13131) filed 10/30/14.

Order that the US Marshal's Service release Jared Wheat and Stephen Smith from incarceration entered 11/10/14.

- Commission's answering brief (No. 14-13131) filed 1/9/15; appellants' reply briefs filed 2/17/15.
- Oral argument in Eleventh Circuit held 4/17/15.
- Opinion vacating district court's judgment of contempt and remanding case to district court for further proceedings entered 5/5/15.
- Commission's motion for clarification filed 6/4/15; Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals response filed 6/18/15.
- Commission's motion for entry of contempt judgement relating to Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Jared Wheat, and Stephen Smith's violation of section VI and motion for compensatory sanctions filed 8/20/15; opposition filed 10/9/15; Commission's reply filed 10/26/15; order denying motion with leave to refile entered 11/4/15.

Contempt hearing held 3/27/17 - 4/7/17.

- Final judgment against defendants Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Jared Wheat, and Stephen Smith, and Terrill Mark Wright entered 10/31/17.
- Defendants Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Stephen Smith and Jared Wheat's notice of appeal filed 12/21/17; appellants' briefs filed 3/30/18; Commission's answering brief filed 6/14/18; reply briefs filed 7/30/18.

Oral argument held 7/26/19.

Opinion affirming district court judgment entered 9/18/19.

- Appellants' petition for rehearing en banc filed 11/1/19; denied 1/29/20. Appellant Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals' petition for writ of certiorari filed
- 6/26/20; certiorari denied 11/2/20.
- Motion for relief from judgment by Defendants Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Jared Wheat filed 5/13/21; Commission's opposition filed 5/26/21; Defendants' reply filed 6/9/21; motion denied 9/30/21.
- Notice of appeal filed by Hi-Tech Pharmaceuticals, Stephen Smith, and Jared Wheat filed 11/22/21.
- Appellants' opening brief filed 3/4/22; Commission's brief filed 5/4/22; appellants' reply brief filed 6/24/22.

FTC v. NORTH AMERICAN AUTOMOTIVE SERVICES, No. 1:22-cv-01690 (N.D. Ill.)

|                     | Clermont Motors, LLC<br>North Palm Motors, LLC<br>Napleton's Ellwood Motors, Inc.<br>Napleton Mid River Imports, Inc.<br>Hitko Kadric<br>State of Illinois (Co-Plaintiff)  |
|---------------------|--|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Helen Clark (BCP/FP)<br>William Hodor (MWR)  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive and unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA), and the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) for including illegal junk fees for unwanted "add-ons" onto customers' bills and for discriminating against Black customers by charging them more for financing.  |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 3/31/22.<br>Stipulated order for permanent injunction, monetary judgment, and other relief entered 4/5/22.   |
| FTC v. NEORA LLC    | C, No. 3:19-cv-19699 (D. N.J.); No. 3:20-cv-01979 (N.D. Tex.)  |
| Parties:            | Neora LLC, f/k/a Nerium International, LLC<br>Jeffrey Olson<br>Signum Biosciences, Inc.<br>Signum Nutralogix   |
| Assigned Attorneys: | Guy Ward (MWR)<br>Katharine Roller<br>Lisa Bohl<br>Douglas McKenney<br>Christine Carson  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive acts and practices in violation of Section 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act in connection with advertising, promoting, and distributing a nutritional supplement.  |
| Status:             | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 11/1/19.</li> <li>Stipulated order for permanent injunction as to Defendants Signum Biosciences Inc. and Signum Nutralogix entered 11/15/19.</li> <li>Defendants Neroa LLC and Jeffrey Olson's motion to dismiss filed 12/11/19; Commission's opposition filed 12/23/19; defendants reply filed 12/30/19.</li> <li>Order granting defendants' motion to transfer case to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas entered 7/27/20.</li> </ul> |

- Defendants Neora LLC and Jeffrey Olson's motion for judgment on the pleadings filed 5/17/21; Commission response filed 6/7/21; Defendant's response filed 6/21/21.
- Order granting defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings with respect to FTC's claim for monetary relief, but denied in all other respects, entered 8/2/21.
- Commission's motion for judgment on the pleadings on all affirmative defenses filed 5/3/22;

Contempt motion against the FTC by contempt defendants filed 2/24/22; Commission's opposition filed 3/10/22; contempt defendants' reply filed 3/16/22; motion denied 3/28/22. Order denying without prejudice Commission's motion for contempt

- Motion for summary judgment as to liability filed 3/12/21; Defendants' opposition filed 5/3/21; Commission's reply filed 6/28/21.
- Order denying motion to intervene by Success by Health affiliates entered 4/1/21; notice of appeal by would-be intervenors filed 4/19/21; appellants' motion for voluntary dismissal of appeal granted 7/23/21.
- Motion for preliminary injunction with asset freeze and receivership filed 5/18/21; Defendants' opposition filed 6/11/21; Commission's reply filed 6/16/21.
- Commission's motion for summary judgment as to monetary remedies filed 6/23/21; defendants' opposition filed 8/6/21; Commission's reply filed 8/16/21.
- Motion to dissolve the preliminary injunction order and stay or dismiss 13(b) proceedings by individual defendants filed 7/30/21; Commission's opposition filed 8/6/21; defendants' reply filed 8/13/21.
- FTC motion for sanctions for intentional spoliation of evidence granted 8/30/21.
- Order granting FTC's motion for summary judgment on liability entered 9/9/21.
- Order granting FTC motion for preliminary injunction with asset freeze and receivership, and denying individual defendants' motion to dissolve preliminary injunction and stay or dismiss 13(b) proceedings, entered 9/23/21.
- Notice of appeal of preliminary injunction order and denial of motion to dismiss by individual defendants filed 10/8/21.
- Order denying FTC motion for summary judgment on remedies entered 11/23/21.
- Motion for voluntary dismissal of appeal granted 12/14/21.
- FTC's motion to apply summary judgment on liability order to corporate defendants filed 1/31/22; defendants' opposition filed 2/14/22; denied without prejudice 2/17/22.
- Motion to set aside judgment by individual defendants filed 2/22/22; FTC's opposition filed 3/4/22; reply filed 3/10/22; denied 3/28/22.

#### FTC v. NUDGE LLC, No. 2:19-cv-00867 (D. Utah)

Parties: Nudge LLC

Wealth Tribe, Women's Empowerment, Yancey Events, Yancey, LLC, and Your Real Estate Today BuyPD Brandon B. Lewis Ryan C. Poelman

- Third party complaint in intervention for declaratory relief against all defendants by American Estate and Trust DBO Erryl Sloan IRA, Erryl Sloan, E&R Enterprise, Rosemary Sloan, American Estate and Trust FBO Rosemary Sloan IRA filed 12/22/20.
- Motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim by Defendants Dean Graziosi and Scott Yancey filed 1/19/21; Commission opposition filed 2/16/21; Defendants' reply filed 3/2/21.
- Motion for partial summary judgment on availability of monetary relief by Defendants BuyPD, Shawn Finnegan, Brandon Lewis, Nudge LLC, Ryan Poelman, Response Marketing Group, Clint Sanderson, and Phillip Smith filed 5/5/21; Commission opposition filed 6/2/21; Defendants' reply filed 6/16/21.
- Hearing held on 7/9/21.
- Order denying cross-motions for partial summary judgment on Utah's claim entered 7/9/21.
- Memorandum decision and order denying motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim entered 7/26/21.
- Order granting Defendants' motion for partial summary judgment on monetary relief entered 9/15/21.
- Cross-motions for summary judgment filed 10/18/21; oppositions filed 11/23 and 11/24/21; replies filed 12/15/21.
- Order granting in part and denying in part both Commission's and defendants' motions for summary judgment entered 6/14/22.

## *FTC v. ONE OR MORE UNKNOWN PARTIES DECEIVING CONSUMERS*, No. 5:20-cv-2494 (N.D. Ohio)

Parties:

One or more unknown parties deceiving consumers into making purchases through www.cleanyos.com | www.arlysol.com | www.broclea.com | www.cdclea.com | www.cleancate.com | www.cleankler.com | www.cleanula.com | www.clean-sale.com | www.clean-sell.com | www.clorox-sale.com | www.clorox-sales.com | www.cloroxstore.com | www.clysol.com | www.elysol.com | www.littletoke.com | www.lybclean.com | www.lysoiclean.com | www.lysol-clean.com | www.lysol-cleaners.com | www.lysol-free.com | www.lysolsales.com | www.lysolservicebest.com | www.lysol-sell.com | www.lysol

|         | magazine subscriptions.   |
|---------|---|
| Status: | Complaint filed 5/14/08.  |
|         | Amended complaint filed 2/5/09.   |
|         | Commission's motion for summary judgment filed 7/31/09; opposition filed 11/23/09; reply filed 12/7/09.   |
|         | Order granting the Commission's motion for summary judgment entered $4/7/10$ .  |
|         | Order for permanent injunction as to all defendants entered $4/7/10$ .  |
|         | Commission's opening brief on the issue of damages filed $4/20/10$ .  |
|         | Final judgment as to all defendants entered $7/25/11$ .   |
|         | Commission's opening brief filed 2/13/12; defendants' answering brief filed 4/13/12; reply brief filed 5/11/12.   |
|         | Memorandum disposition remanding case to district court entered 9/19/13.  |
|         | Petition for writ of certiorari filed 2/27/14.  |
|         | Petition for writ of certiorari denied 6/9/14.  |
|         | Commission's motion for monetary judgment filed 5/31/16; defendants' brief on damages filed 7/19/16; Commission's reply filed 8/9/16.                     |
|         | Order granting Commission's motion for judgment entered 3/2/17.   |
|         | Notice of appeal filed 3/31/17.   |
|         | Appellants' opening brief filed 8/30/17; Commission's answering brief filed 10/30/17; reply brief filed 12/20/17.   |
|         | Oral argument held 8/15/18.   |
|         | Opinion affirming judgment of the district court entered 8/31/18.   |
|         | Appellants' petition for rehearing filed 10/15/18; Commission's opposition filed 12/20/18.  |
|         | Order denying appellants' petition for rehearing <i>en banc</i> entered 6/19/19.<br>Petition for writ of certiorari filed 10/18/19; Commission's brief in |
|         | opposition filed 12/13/19; reply brief filed 12/23/19; supplemental brief of petitioners filed 6/30/20.   |
|         | Writ of certiorari granted and order remanding to the Ninth Circuit in light  |
|         | of the Court's ruling in AMG entered 5/3/21.  |
|         | Ninth Circuit opinion affirming the district court's permanent injunction,  |
|         | vacating the awarded monetary judgment for equitable relief under   |
|         | 13(b), and remanding for further proceedings to determine if  |
|         | further relief is warranted, entered 6/10/21.   |
|         | Stipulated consent order entered 5/25/22.   |
|         |   |

*FTC v. QUINCY BIOSCIENCE HOLDING CO., INC.*, No. 17-3745 (2d Cir.); No. 17-3791 (2d Cir.); No. 1:17-cv-00124 (S.D.N.Y.)

Parties: Quincy Bioscience Holding Company, Inc. Quincy Bioscience, LLC Prevagen, Inc. d/b/a Sugar River Supplements Quincy Bioscience Manufacturing, LLC Mark Underwood State of New York (Plaintiff)

Kyle W. Dennis Jason Bond MFA Holdings Corp. Jason Bond, LLC Winston Corp. Winston Research, Inc. Sherwood Ventures, LLC Motion to dismiss by Kyle Dennis filed 4/5/22; Commission's opposition filed 4/19/22; reply filed 5/3/22.

FTC v. RANDO et al., No. 3:22-cv-487 (M.D. Fla.)

| Parties:            | Michael Rando<br>Valerie Rando<br>Prosperity Training Technology<br>Elite Customer Services, LLC<br>Digital Business Scaling LLC<br>First Coast Matchmakers Inc.<br>First Coast Matchmakers LLC<br>Financial Consulting Management Group LLC<br>Resource Management Investments, LLC<br>Maria Yip (Receiver)   |
|---------------------|--|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Brian Welke (BCP/ENF)<br>Hong Park<br>Sana Chaudhry  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5, the Telemarketing Sales Rules (TSR), the COIVD-19 Consumer Protection Act (CCPA), and multiple provisions of the Business Opportunity Rule (BOR) for falsely promising consumers quick boosts to their credit scores and for misleading them to think they could run their own credit repair companies. |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 5/2/22.<br>TRO with asset freeze entered 5/3/22.   |

*FTC v. SIMPLE HEALTH PLANS, LLC*, Nos. 19-10840, 19-11932, 21-13116 (11th Cir.), No. 18-cv-62593 (S.D. Fla.)

| Parties:            | <ul> <li>Simple Health Plans, LLC</li> <li>Health Benefits One LLC d/b/a Health Benefits Center d/b/a Simple</li> <li>Health d/b/a Simple Health Plans d/b/a Simple Insurance d/b/a</li> <li>Simple Insurance Plans d/b/a Simple Auto d/b/a Simple Home</li> <li>d/b/a Simple Home Plans d/b/a Simple Care d/b/a Simple Life</li> <li>d/b/a National Dental Savings</li> <li>Health Center Management LLC</li> <li>Innovative Customer Care LLC</li> <li>Simple Insurance Leads LLC d/b/a Health Insurance Services</li> <li>Senior Benefits One LLC</li> <li>Steven J. Dorfman</li> </ul> |
|---------------------|--|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Elizabeth Scott (MWR)<br>Purba Mukerjee  |

|                  | Joannie Wei<br>Roberto Menjivar<br>Brad Grossman (OGC)<br>Matthew Hoffman  |
|------------------|--|
| Alleged Conduct: | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act and FTC's Telemarketing Sales Rule in connection with the sale and marketing of limited benefit insurance plans and medical discount memberships.  |
| Status:          | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 10/29/18</li> <li>TRO entered 10/31/18; order extending TRO entered 12/21/18.</li> <li>Notice of appeal by defendant Steven J. Dorfman as to order on motion for temporary restraining order, order on motion to appoint receiver, order on motions for order to show cause, and order on motion to strike filed 3/4/19.</li> <li>Order of dismissal from USCA for lack of jurisdiction entered 4/16/19.</li> <li>Preliminary injunction hearing held 4/16/19.</li> <li>Preliminary injunction entered 5/14/19.</li> <li>Notice of appeal of preliminary injunction filed 5/15/19.</li> <li>Order by district court denying defendants' motion to stay proceedings pending appeal entered 5/31/19.</li> <li>Order by 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit denying appellant Steven Dorfman's motion to stay lower court proceedings entered 6/11/19.</li> <li>Defendant Steven Dorfman's motion to dissolve preliminary injunction filed 6/4/19; Commission's opposition filed 5/24; Commission's brief filed 1/24/19; Dorfman's reply brief filed 8/14/19.</li> <li>Amended complaint filed 10/31/19.</li> <li>Motion to dismiss amended complaint filed 12/16/19; Commission's response filed 12/30/19; Dorfman's response filed 1/6/20; motion granted in part 6/15/20.</li> <li>Eleventh Circuit opinion affirming district court's preliminary injunction against Steven Dorfman entered 2/5/20.</li> </ul> |

Commission motion for summary judgment filed 1/15/21; Steven Dorfman's opposition filed 2/12/21; Commission's reply filed 2/16/21. Defendant Steven Dorfman's motion for summary judgment filed 1/15/21; Commission's opposition filed 2/12/21; Steven Dorfman's reply filed 2/16/21. Defendant Steven Dorfman's emergency motion to dissolve preliminary injunction filed 4/22/21; Commission opposition filed 4/30/21; Dorfman's reply filed 5/3/21; Commission supplemental brief filed 6/10/21; Dorfman's supplemental brief filed 6/10/21. Order denying Dorman's emergency motion to dissolve preliminary injunction entered 9/5/21. Stipulated order for permanent injunction and suspended monetary judgment as to defendant Candida Girouard entered 9/8/21. Notice of appeal from order denying motion to dissolve preliminary injunction filed 9/10/21. Dorfman opening brief filed 11/24/21. FTC brief filed 1/26/22. Dorfman reply brief filed 3/18/22. Argument scheduled for week of 9/12/22.

#### FTC v. SPM THERMO-SHIELD, INC., No. 2:20-cv-542 (M.D. Fla.)

| Parties:            | SPM Thermo-Shield, Inc.<br>Peter J. Spiska<br>George P. Spiska  |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Joshua Millard (BCP/ENF)<br>Kristy Tillman  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act in connection with false or unsubstantiated R-value and energy savings claims about their architectural coating products.   |
| Status:             | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 7/28/20.</li> <li>Defendants' motion to dismiss filed 10/5/20; Commission's opposition filed 10/19/20; order denying defendants' motion entered 11/6/20.</li> <li>Motion to dismiss by Defendants filed 5/14/21; Commission's opposition filed 5/24/21; order granting motion in part as to request for equitable monetary relief and denying in all other respects entered 5/27/21.</li> <li>Amended complaint filed 6/2/21.</li> <li>Motion for summary judgment by FTC filed 2/7/22; defendants' opposition to summary judgment filed 2/28/22; reply filed 3/4/22; order granting FTC's motion for summary judgment entered 3/21/22.</li> </ul> |

Commission's notice of change in law and withdrawal of request for equitable monetary relief filed 5/10/21.
Motion for leave to file amended complaint filed 6/22/21; Defendants' response filed 7/6/21; FTC's reply filed 7/20/21; motion granted 8/27/21.
Amended complaint filed 8/30/21.
Cross-motions for summary judgment filed 3/14/22; oppositions filed 4/26/22; replies filed 5/10/22.

FTC v. SURESCRIPTS, LLC, No. 1:19-cv-01080 (D.D.C.)

| Parties:            | Surescripts, LLC   |
|---------------------|--|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Bradley Albert (BC/HC)<br>Markus Meier<br>David B. Schwartz<br>Joseph P. Mathias<br>Tanya O'Neil<br>Daniel Matheson<br>Jordy Hur<br>Timothy Grayson<br>Nicholas Leefer   |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Unfair methods of competition in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, in connection with the defendants' anticompetitive scheme to maintain its monopolies in markets for electronic prescription routing and eligibility.                    |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 4/17/19.<br>Surescripts' motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction filed 7/12/19;<br>Commission's opposition to motion filed 8/23/19; reply to motion<br>filed 9/13-2 (on f)3 (i)-2 (l)8c,2( )Tj3 0 (i)8 of o 0 Tdfysur<br>ss fil |

# *FTC v. VYERA PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,* No. 22-728 (2d. Cir.); No. 1:20-cv-00706 (S.D.N.Y.)

| Parties:            | Vyera Pharmaceuticals, LLC<br>Phoenixus AG<br>Kevin Mulleady<br>Martin Shkreli<br>State of New York (Co-Plaintiff)<br>State of California (Co-Plaintiff)<br>State of Illinois (Co-Plaintiff)<br>State of North Carolina (Co-Plaintiff)<br>State of Ohio (Co-Plaintiff)<br>Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (Co-Plaintiff)<br>Commonwealth of Virginia (Co-Plaintiff)  |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Markus H. Meier (BC/HC)<br>Bradley A. Albert<br>Armine Black<br>Daniel W. Butrymowicz<br>Leah Hubinger<br>Lauren Peay<br>Neal Perlman<br>Maren Haneberg<br>James Weingarten (BC/Office of the Director)<br>Brad Grossman (OGC)  |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Violations of Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act and unfair methods of competition in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, in connection with scheme to maintain a monopoly for the life-saving drug, Daraprim.  |
| Status:             | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 1/27/20.</li> <li>Amended complaint adding six state plaintiffs filed 4/14/20.</li> <li>Defendants' motions to dismiss filed 5/22/20; FTC's opposition to defendants' motions to dismiss filed 7/6/20; defendants' replies filed 7/27/20; order denying the motions to dismiss entered 8/18/20.</li> <li>Commission letter motion for spoliation sanctions against Defendants filed 5/17/21; defendants' responses filed 5/21/21; order imposing sanctions entered 6/1/21.</li> <li>Order dismissing Commission's prayer for equitable monetary relief entered 6/2/21.</li> <li>Stipulated order for permanent injunction and equitable monetary relief against Phoenixus AG, Vyera Pharmaceuticals, LLC, and Kevin Mulledy entered 12/7/21.</li> <li>Trial against Martin Shkreli held 12/10/21 to 12/22/21.</li> </ul> |

# *FTC v. ZYCAL BIOCEUTICALS HEALTHCARE COMPANY, INC.*, No. 4:20-cv-10249 (D. Mass.)

Parties: Zycal Bioceuticals Healthcare Company, Inc. James J. Scaffidi

## (Ar(As)2))(Dj-9),2004 Tc 0-32.55 (m)-2 (G)2 (ouTd[(O)2 (r)3 (d30(P)Tj-0.00 Tc 0 Tc 0.004 Tw 2.31 0 (m)-2 (D (aP[

| Assigned Attorneys: | James Nelson (DOJ/CPB)  |
|---------------------|---|
|                     | Zachary Dietert   |
|                     | Michael Blume (DOJ/EDNY)  |
|                     | Michael Shane (FDA)   |
|                     | Robert van Someren Greve (BCP/AP)   |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Violations of the COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act, and Sections 5 and 12 of the FTC Act, in connection with false or unsubstantiated claims that an herbal tea containing aloe vera and honey treats or prevents COVID-19, is as good or better than available vaccines at treating or preventing the virus, and is clinically proven to treat and prevent COVID-19. |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 3/3/22.<br>Defendant Sinclair's motions to dismiss filed 6/1/22; United States'<br>opposition filed 6/15/22.<br>Order granting 60-day stay entered 6/30/22.   |

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. BURGERIM GROUP USA, No. 2:22-cv-825 (C.D. Cal.)

| Parties:                    | Burgerim Group USA, Inc.<br>Burgerim Goup, Inc.<br>Oren Loni   |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Assigned Attorneys:         | Marcus Smith (DOJ)<br>Stephen Tosini   |
| Alleged Conduct:            | Violations of Section 5 of the FTC Act and the Franchise Rule by enticing consumers to purchase franchises using false promises while withholding information required by the rule.                        |
| Status:<br>1[((0m16 (r)3 (a | Complaint filed 2/7/22.<br>United States' application for clerk to enter default against Burgerim<br>Group USA, Inc. and Burgerim Group, Inc. filed 5/23/22.<br>DO(ntt): 2 (i): 0 (GTa TadO): 12 (O)2 (r)3 |

|   | TEAM.XYZ LLC<br>123 LLC<br>XYZ LLC<br>GREAT LLC<br>General LLC<br>Media LLC<br>ADV MARKET DIRECT LLC<br>Direct Ad Sales LLC<br>MARKETING SOLUTIONS 33 LLC<br>DEC MKT LLC<br>Ads Full3 LLC<br>Sunset Marketing Services LLC<br>Arrow Eagle, LLC<br>Arrow Hawk, LLC<br>Gen Ads, LLC<br>Michael Ambrose<br>Daniel Negari<br>Jason Ramin<br>Grant Carpenter<br>Anisha Hancock<br>Sione Kaufusi |  |
|---|--|--|
| Assigned Attorneys:   | Matthew Fine (WRLA)<br>Daniel Hanks (BCP/FP)<br>Michael Tankersley   |  |
| Alleged Conduct:  | Violations of Sections 5(a) of the FTC Act and provisions of the Fair<br>Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) for enticing consumers to share sensitive<br>financial information and selling that information to marketing companies.   |  |
| Status:   | Complaint filed 1/5/22.<br>Stipulated final order for permanent injunction and monetary judgment of \$1.5 million entered 1/10/22.   |  |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. KOHL'S INC., No. 1:22-cv-964 (D.D.C.) |  |  |
| Parties:  | Kohl's Inc., f/k/a Kohl's Department Stores, Inc.  |  |
| Assigned Attorneys:   | Rachael Doud (DOJ)   |  |
| Alleged Conduct:  | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, the<br>Textile Fiber Products Identification Act ("Textile Act"), the Rules and<br>Regulation Under the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act ("Textile<br>Rules") for marketing dozens of rayon products falsely as bamboo.   |  |
| Status:   | Complaint filed 4/8/22.  |  |

## FTC v. MATCH GROUP, INC., No. 3:19-cv-02281 (N.D. Texas)

Parties: Match Group, Inc.

Assigned Attorneys: Reid Tepfer (SWR) Hasan Aijazcv

| Assigned Attorneys: | Michael Mora (BCP/ENF)<br>Christopher Erickson   |
|---------------------|--|
| Alleged Conduct:    | Violations of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the FTC Act, the Mail Order Rule,<br>and the COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act in connection with the<br>marketing and sale of personal protective equipment during the COVID-<br>19 pandemic.  |
| Status:             | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 6/29/21.</li> <li>Motion to dismiss complaint by Frank Romero filed 8/30/21; FTC opposition filed 9/20/21; Romero's reply filed 10/19/21.</li> <li>Motion to stay by Frank Romero 3/04/22; FTC's opposition filed 3/18/22; order denying defendants' motion to stay entered 4/26/22.</li> <li>Order denying motion to dismiss entered 6/29/22.</li> </ul> |

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. TURBO SOLUTIONS INC. et al., 4:22-mc-369 (S.D. Tex.)

| Parties:            | Turbo Solutions Inc., f/k/a Alex Miller Financial Services Inc., d/b/a Alex<br>Miller Credit Repair<br>Alexander V. Miller  |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Daniel Hu (DOJ)<br>Marcus Smith<br>Stephen Tosini   |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, the Telemarketing Sales Rule (TSR), and the Credit Repair Organization Act ("CROA") for inducing consumers to purchase the defendants' credit repair services. |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 3/1/22.<br>Ex parte TRO entered 3/4/22.<br>Preliminary injunction entered 3/18/22.  |

Courtney Estep

Alleged Conduct: Violations of the COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act and Sections 5 and 12 of the FTC Act in connection with false or unsubstantiated claims that Xlear nasal spray treats or prevents COVID-19.

Status: Complaint filed 10/28/21.

*FTC v. ZURIXX LLC*, No. 2:19-cv-00713 (D. Utah)

| Parties:            | Zurixx, LLC<br>Brand Management Holdings, LLC<br>CAC Investment Ventures, LLC (Puerto Rico)<br>CAC Investment Ventures, LLC (Utah)<br>Carlson Development Group, LLC (Utah)<br>Carlson Development Group, LLC (Puerto Rico)<br>CJ Seminar Holdings, LLC<br>Dorado Marketing and Management, LLC<br>JSS Investment Ventures, LLC<br>JSS Trust<br>Zurixx Financial, LLC (Utah)<br>Zurixx Financial, LLC (Puerto Rico)<br>Cristopher A. Cannon<br>James M. Carlson<br>Jeffrey D. Spangler<br>Gerald D. Spangler<br>Stephenie J. Spangler (relief defendant)<br>Utah Division of Consumer Protection (co-plaintiff) |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Robert Anguizola (BCP/MP)<br>Joshua Doan<br>Amanda Grier<br>Thomas Harris<br>Miry Kim   |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC, the<br>Consumer Review Fairness Act, the Telemarketing Sales Rule, as well as<br>the Utah Consumer Sales Practices Act and the Utah Business Opportunity<br>Disclosure Act in connection with the marketing and sale of real estate<br>investment products and services.   |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 9/30/19.<br>TRO entered 10/1/19.<br>Preliminary injunction entered 11/1/19.<br>Defendants' motion for partial dismissal filed 11/19/19; Commission's<br>opposition file 12/17/19; defendants' reply filed 12/31/19.<br>Order denying defendants' motion to dismiss entered 2/26/20.   |

- Defendants' motion for interlocutory appeal and partial dismissal filed 3/11/20; Commission's opposition filed 3/25/20; defendants' reply filed 4/8/20.
- Order denying defendants' motion for interlocutory appeal and partial dismissal entered 4/28/20.
- Amended complaint filed 5/12/20.
- Defendants' motion to dismiss filed 8/17/20; Commission's opposition filed 9/14/20; defendants' reply filed 9/28/20.
- Order denying defendants' motion to dismiss, to stay discovery, and for relief from the preliminary injunction entered 11/24/20.
- Amended complaint filed 2/12/21.
- Motion to intervene by Annelise Agee, Byron Aldrink, Brian Banks, Joshua Jackson, Polar Prutaseranee, and Jeanne Rietzke filed 5/11/21; Commission opposition filed 6/7/21; movants' reply filed 6/28/21.
- Motion for partial summary judgment by Defendants Brand Management Holdings, CAC Investment Ventures, CAC Investment Ventures Utah, CJ Seminar Holdings, Christopher Cannon, James Carlson, Carlson Development Group Puerto Rico, Carlson Development Group Utah, Dorado Marketing and Management, Jeffrey Spangler, Zurixx, Zurixx Financial Puerto Rico, and Zurixx Financial Utah filed 5/12/21; Commission opposition filed 6/9/21; Defendants' reply filed 6/23/21.
- Motion for partial summary judgment by Defendants JSS Investment Ventures, JSS Trust, Gerald Spangler, and Stephanie Spangler filed 5/28/21; Commission opposition filed 6/9/21; Defendants' reply filed 6/29/21.

Stipulated order for permanent injunction and monetary judgment entered 2/15/22.

#### (B) <u>Competition</u>

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. BIGLARI HOLDINGS, INC., 1:21-cv-03331 (D.D.C.)

- Parties: Biglari Holdings, Inc.
- Assigned Attorneys: Kenneth Libby (BC/Compliance) Kelly Horne
- Alleged Conduct: Violations of the notice and waiting period requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act with respect to the acquisition of shares of Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc.
- Status:Complaint filed 12/22/21.FTC's motion for entry of judgment filed 5/9/22.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. WERNER, No. 1:21-cv-3332 (D.D.C.)

| Parties:            | Clarence L. Werner   |
|---------------------|--|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Kenneth Libby (BC/Compliance)<br>Kelly Horne   |
| Alleged Conduct:    | Violations of the notice and waiting period requirements of the Hart-Scott-<br>Rodino Act with respect to the exercise of options to acquire shares of<br>Werner Enterprises, Inc. |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 12/22/21.<br>Order for stipulated final judgment entered 4/21/22.  |

#### SUBPOENA AND CID ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS

FTC v. CARIS LIFE SCIENCES, No. 1:21-mc-00115 (D.D.C.)

- Parties: Caris Life Sciences, Inc.
- Assigned Attorneys: Michael Bergman (OGC)
- Nature of Action: Petition to enforce subpoenas issued in Part 3 administrative proceeding.
- Status: Petition filed 9/30/21. Order to show cause entered 10/5/21

Response to petition filed 11/10/21; Commission's reply filed 11/16/21.
Commission's motion to stay proceedings pending agency decision regarding dismissal of action filed 2/10/22; order granting motion to stay entered 2/11/22.
Commission's motion for voluntary dismissal filed 3/3/22; Order granting

Commission's motion for voluntary dismissal filed 3/3/22; Order granting Commission's motion and ordering that case is dismissed voluntarily entered 3/4/22.

FTC v. NETLATITUDE INC., No. 4:21-mc-1300 (S.D. Tex.)

Parties: Netlatitude, Inc.

Assigned Attorneys: Miles Freeman (WR-LA)

| Nature of Action:   | Petition to enforce a CID.  |
|---|---|
| Status:   | Petition filed 11/17/21.<br>Order to show cause entered 12/06/21.<br>Order adopting joint stipulation resolving matter entered 1/05/22. |
| FTC v. THE STRATICS GROUP INC., No. 0:21-cv-61250 (S.D. Fla.) |   |

Parties: The Stratics Group, Inc. Assigned Attorneys: Suzanne Barth (BCP/MP) Christopher Brown Nature of Action: Petition to enforce a CID. Status: Petition filed 6/15/21. Stratics motion for leave to file response to petition filed 7/06/21; FTC opposition filed 7/07/21; Stratics reply filed 7/12/21. Telephonic hearing held 11/30/21. FTC request for clarification of oral ruling filed 12/16/21. Stratics response and motion for summary judgment filed 12/23/21; FTC response filed 1/03/22; Stratics reply filed 1/10/22. Order denying as moot Stratics motion for leave to file a response to FTC petition entered 7/19/22.

FTC v. XCAST LABS, No. 22-55322 (9th Cir.); No. 2:21-mc-1026 (C.D. Cal.)

| Parties:            | XCast Labs, Inc.   |
|---------------------|--|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Allen Dreschel (BCP/MP)<br>Miles Freeman (WRLA)  |
| Nature of Action:   | Petition to enforce a CID.   |
| Status:             | <ul> <li>Petition filed 8/6/21.</li> <li>Opposition to petition by XCast Labs filed 9/24/21; FTC reply filed 10/8/21; surreply in opposition by XCast Labs filed 10/25/21; FTC surreply in support of petition filed 11/2/21.</li> <li>Magistrate judge's report and recommendation that the CID be enforced issued 12/9/21.</li> <li>XCast Labs objection to report and recommendation filed 12/23/21; FTC response filed 1/5/22.</li> <li>Order accepting findings and recommendations of magistrate judge and judgment enforcing CID entered1/06/22.</li> <li>Notice of appeal by XCast Labs filed 3/07/22.</li> <li>Stipulated motion to voluntarily dismiss appeal filed 5/2/22; order</li> </ul> |

dismissing appeal filed 5/6/22.

#### SUITS TO ENJOIN FTC ACTION AND OTHER DEFENSIVE LITIGATION

#### ALDABE v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 1:21-cv-803 (D. Md.)

| Parties:   | Fermin Aldabe  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Assigned Attorneys:  | Kimberly Phillips (AUSA)<br>Joel Marcus (OGC)  |  |
| Nature of Action:  | Action seeking a judicial declaration that the Commission exceeded its authority in its litigation against Atlantic International Bank. Ltd., causing negligent personal injury to a non-party consumer.   |  |
| Status:  | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 3/30/21.</li> <li>Motion to substitute United States for FTC Defendants filed 5/3/21;<br/>Plaintiff's cross motion to stay motion to substitute filed 5/24/21;<br/>United States' reply filed 6/7/21.</li> <li>Order granting motion to substitute United States entered 3/30/22.</li> <li>Motion to dismiss by United States filed 4/19/22.</li> </ul> |  |
| AMERICANS FOR PROSPERITY FOUNDATION v. FTC, No. 1:21-cv-03207 (D.D.C)    |  |  |
| Parties:   | Americans for Prosperity Foundation  |  |
| Assigned Attorneys:  | Anna Walker (AUSA)<br>Michele Arington (OGC)   |  |
| Nature of Action:  | Action seeking records under the Freedom of Information Act.   |  |
| Status:  | <ul> <li>Complaint filed 12/8/21.</li> <li>FTC answer filed 2/9/22.</li> <li>Order directing parties to file a joint status report and proposed schedule for proceeding entered 2/10/22.</li> <li>Joint status report with proposed schedule filed and order adopting schedule entered 3/10/22.</li> <li>Joint status report filed 5/9/22.</li> </ul>                            |  |
| AMERICAN VEHICLE PROTECTION CORP., v. FTC, No. 0:22-cv-60298 (S.D. Fla.) |  |  |

Parties: American Vehicle Protection Corp. CG3 Solutions Inc. Tony Allen Gonzalez Tony Gonzalez Consulting Group, Inc. Charles Gonzalez Assigned Attorneys: Matthew Hoffman (OGC)

Nature of Action: Action seeking declaratory ruling that FTC lacks

Axon motion to stay mandate to file writ of certiorari filed 4/15/21; Commission opposition filed 4/19/21; motion granted 4/21/21.
Axon petition for writ of certiorari filed 7/20/21; Commission opposition filed 9/22/21; Axon's reply filed 10/6/21; Axon's supplemental brief filed 12/20/21.
Certiorari granted 1/24/22.
Axon's merits brief filed 5/9/22.

| Nature of Action: | Action seeking records under the Freedom of Information Act. |
|-------------------|--|
|                   | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$        |

Status: Complaint filed 6/7/22.

GRAVITY DEFYER MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY CORP. V. FTC, No. 1:22-cv-1157 (D.D.C.)

| Parties:            | Gravity Defyer Medical Technology Corp.<br>Alexander Elnekaveh  |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Joel Marcus (OGC)   |
| Nature of Action:   | Action seeking declaratory judgment that FTC's prospective civil penalty action against Gravity Defyer would violate the First Amendment. |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 4/26/22; amended complaint filed 7/22/22.<br>Commission motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim filed 7/8/22.      |

*LABMD, INC., v. FTC* and *DAUGHERTY v. FTC*, Nos. 1:21-cv-3525, No. 1:21-cv-3526 (N.D. Ga.)

| Parties:                    | LabMD, Inc.<br>Michael J. Daugherty  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Assigned Attorneys:         | Aaron Joshua Ross (AUSA)<br>Burke Kappler (OGC)  |
| Nature of Action:           | Suit for damages under the Federal Tort Claims Act, alleging that the FTC was negligent in investigating and litigating its data security case against LabMD.  |
| Status:<br>LOUISIANA v. HOP | Complaints filed 8/25/21.<br>Amended complaints filed 11/23/21.<br>Commission's motion to dismiss filed 3/5/22; plaintiff's response to<br>motion filed 5/23/22; Commission's reply filed 6/21/22.<br>RSERACING INTEGRITY & SAFETY AUTHORITY, INC., No. 6:22-cv- |
| 01934 (W.D. La.)            |  |
| Parties:                    | State of Louisiana<br>Louisiana State Racing Commission  |

VariationState of LouisianaLouisiana State Racing CommissionLouisiana Horsemens Benevolent & Protective AssociationLouisiana Thoroughbred Breeders AssociationJockeys GuildState of West VirginiaWest Virginia Racing CommissionBernard ChattersEdward Fenasci

|                     | Larry Findley, Sr.<br>Warren Harang<br>Gerard Melancon  |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Alexander Sverdlov (DOJ)<br>Marie Choi (OGC)<br>Austin King   |
| Nature of Action:   | Action seeking a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction<br>against the Commission and the Horseracing Integrity and Safety<br>Authority to prevent the enforcement of the horseracing rules approved by<br>the Commission and declare them invalid under the Administrative<br>Procedure Act. |

Status: Complaint filed 6/30/22.

#### NATIONAL HORSEMEN'S BENEVOLENT AND PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION et al v. BLACK, No. 22-10387 (5th Cir.); No. 5:21-cv-71 (N.D. Tex.)

| Parties:            | National Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Arizona Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Arkansas Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Indiana Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Illinois Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Louisiana Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Mountaineer Park Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Nebraska Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Oklahoma Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Oregon Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Pennsylvania Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Washington Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association<br>Tampa Bay Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association |
|---------------------|---|
| Assigned Attorneys: | Alexander Sverdlov (DOJ)<br>Brian Stoltz<br>Joe Busa, Jr.<br>Mark Stern<br>Marie Choi (OGC)<br>Austin King  |
| Nature of Action:   | Action seeking a declaratory judgment that the Horseracing Integrity and Safety Act (HISA) is unconstitutional and the Commission should be permanently enjoined from implementing and enforcing the law.   |
| Status:             | Complaint filed 3/15/21.<br>Amended complaint filed 4/2/21.   |

Commission

| Status: | Brief for the Commission as amici curiae supporting rail shipper plaintiffs filed 12/22/21.                                       |
|---------|---|
|         | Oral argument held 3/07/22.   |
|         | Opinion affirming the District Court decision in part and dismissing in part, vacating the District Court order and remanding for |
|         | reconsideration of evidence, entered 5/17/22.   |
|         |   |

JOHN FRALISH v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., No. 21-2846 (7th Cir.)

Assigned Attorneys: Mark Hegedus (OGC)

- Nature of Action: Private action alleging violations of the Equal Credit Reporting Act and raising the question of who is an "applicant" subject to the protections of the ECOA, which prohibits discrimination in credit transactions based on membership in protected categories.
- Status: Amicus brief for the Commission, Department of Justice, and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, and the Board of Federal Reserve filed 12/2/21.
   Notice of joint stipulation of dismissal filed 1/28/22.

## **REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS v. NOVARTIS PHARMA AG et al.,** No. 22-427 (2d Cir.)

| Assigned Attorneys:                           | Matthew Hoffman (OGC)<br>Joel Marcus   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Nature of Action:                             | Private antitrust action raising product market definition questions.                            |  |  |  |
| Status:                                       | Amicus brief for the Commission and the United States in support of neither party filed 6/17/22. |  |  |  |
| SESSA v. TRANSUNION, LLC, No. 22-87 (2d Cir.) |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Attorneys:                           | Joel Marcus (OGC)<br>Seth Frotman (CFPB)   |  |  |  |

Steven Bressler Laura Hussain Ryan Cooper

Nature of Action: Private action raising question whther the Fair Credit Reporting Act draws

| Status: | Brief for the CFPB and the Commission as amici curiae supporting |
|---------|--|
|         | plaintiff filed 5/5/22.  |

TERADATA v. SAP, No. 22-1286 (Fed. Cir.)

| Assigned Attorneys: | Patrick Kuhlmann (DOJ)<br>Bradley Grossman (OGC)  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|--|--|
| Nature of Action:   | Private action alleging illegal tying in violation of the antitrust laws,<br>involving dismissal of the antitrust claims for failure to define the relevant<br>markets. |  |  |
| Status:             | Brief for the United States and the Commission as amici curiae supporting neither party filed 5/25/22.  |  |  |
|                     |   |  |  |

UFCW LOCAL 1500 WELFARE FUND v. ABBVIE, INC., No. 20-2402 (7th Cir.)

- Assigned Attorneys: Bradley Grossman (OGC)
- Nature of Action: Private action alleging anticompetitive conduct and involving the interpretation and application of *FTC v. Actavis, Inc.*
- Status: Commission's amicus brief filed 10/13/20. Oral argument held 2/25/21.