

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Federal Trade Commission WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

Office of the Chair

Today the Commission has voted out a proposal for a much-needed update to the FTC's nearly 50-year-old Negative Option Rule. As the Commission knew when the rule was passed in 1973, companies too often manipulate consumers into paying for subscriptions for goods and services that they don't want. The problem has only gotten worse. Today, we are proposing to not only lay out clear rules of the road for marketing negative option plans, but also to mandate that companies make it as easy to cancel as they make it to sign up in the first place.

Negative option plans refer to any situation where the customer is presumed to continue to accept an agreement or offer unless they affirmatively decline it. This structure can be harmless, and can even benefit consumers, when properly disclosed. Problems arise when businesses manipulate consumers away from taking that affirmative step, which can result in

addressed what we call "prenotification plans." These otice of the product, send the product, and then ely declines. Since then, the Commission has gained negative options, including the Telemarketing Sales fidence Act. The Commission has actively enforced

these rules and laws, including	in over 3		

charges, the date of payments, and cancellation information—before collecting any billing information from the customer. The Commission also proposes a requirement that businesses get the consumer's unambiguously affirmative consent to the negative option feature of the transaction, separate from any other agreement. The proposal would still allow a business to try to persuade customers to stay, such as by offering perks or discounts. But it would have to get the customer's express consent before doing so.

These are some of the key components of today's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, which seeks comment on the proposal to update and modernize the Commission's existing authority around negative option plans.

If adopted, this rule would enable more efficientc fefo t (ona(m)-1 (an)1 TJ0 Tc 0.BDC m)-1 (an)1 TJ0

@##QCCD_PLANGED_PLANG